



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 38]
No. 38]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, सितम्बर 23, 1967 (आश्विन 1, 1889)
NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1967 (ASVINA 1, 1889)

इस भाग में विन्त पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग III—खण्ड 4

PART III—SECTION 4

विविध निकायों द्वारा जारी की गई विविध अधिसूचनाएं जिसमें अधिसूचनाएं, आदेश, विज्ञापन और सूचनाएं सम्मिलित हैं
Miscellaneous Notifications including Notifications, Orders, Advertisements and Notices issued by Statutory Bodies

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA (Department of Banking Operations and Development) Central Office

Bombay-1, the 13th September 1967

DBOD. No. 88/C.404-67.—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 36A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, the Reserve Bank of India hereby notifies that the Kottapadi Bank Private Ltd., Kottapadi, has ceased to be a banking company within the meaning of the said Act.

M. S. NADKARNI
Chief Officer

DEPARTMENT OF POSTS & TELEGRAPHS Office of the Director General Posts and Telegraphs New Delhi-1, the 11th September 1967

No. 25/47/67-LI.—Postal Life Insurance EA/55 Policy No. 96228-C dated 11-9-63 for Rs. 5000/- held by Shri Krishnakant G. Gujarathi, having been lost from his custody notice is hereby given that the payment thereof has been stopped. The Deputy Director, P.L.I., Calcutta has been authorised to issue a duplicate policy in favour of the insurant. The Public are hereby cautioned against dealing with the original policy

K. GOPALAKRISHNAN
Director (PLI & COMPI)

THE INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 11th September 1967

No. 4-CA(1)/17/67-68.—In pursuance of Regulation 16 of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1964, it is hereby notified that in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, has removed from the Register of Members with effect from the dates mentioned against their names, on account of death, the names of the following gentlemen :

| Sl. No. | Member-ship No. | Name and Address | Date of Removal |
|---------|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| 1. | 68 | Shri Narendra Nath Sarkar, Plot No. 605, Block "O", New Alipore, CALCUTTA-53. | 17-7-1967 |
| 2. | 132 | Shri Basanta Kumar Ray, 14, Bentinck Street, CALCUTTA-1. | 16-8-1967 |

The 6th September 1967

No. 4-CA(1)/18/67-68.—In pursuance of Regulation 16 of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1964, it is hereby notified that in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 20 of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, has removed from the Register of Members of this Institute, with effect from the 1st day of April, 1967, *i.e.*, at own request, the name of Shri Sivaramakrishnan Sankaran, C/o The Bombay Burmah Trading Corporation Limited, Whitefield, Bangalore (Mysore) (Membership No. 3683).

No. 5-CA(1)/22/67-68.—With reference to this Institute's Notification No. 4-CA(1)/2/67-68 dated the 17th August 1967, it is hereby notified in pursuance of Regulation 18 of the Chartered Accountants' Regulations, 1964 that in exercise of the powers conferred by Regulation 17 of the said Regulations, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India has restored to the Register of Members, with effect from 26th August 1967, the name of Shri Nitindra Narayan Chakrabarty, 141, Walmer Road, Flat No. 4, Toronto 4, Canada (Membership No. 6021)

The 10th September 1967

No. 5-CA(1)/23/67-68.—With reference to this Institute's Notification No. 4-CA(1)/11/67-68 dated 11th July 1967, it is hereby notified in pursuance of Regulation 18 of the Chartered Accountants' Regulations, 1964, that in exercise of the powers conferred by Regulation 17 of the said Regulations, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India has restored to the Register of Members, with effect from the 2nd September 1967, the name of Shri Durgadas Mukerjee, A.C.A., Area Accounts Officer, N.C.D.C. Ltd., P.O. Barkakana Dist. Hazaribagh, Bihar (Membership No. 3328).

C. BALAKRISHNAN
Secretary

EMPLOYEES' STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION

New Delhi, the 5th September 1967

No. 3(2)-1/62-Estt.III.—In pursuance of section 25 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), read with regulation 10 of the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950, the Chairman Employees' State Insurance Corporation, hereby notifies as required by clause (ii) of sub-regulation (6) of regulation 10 of the said regulations, but Shri A. Krishnamurthi, a member of the Regional Board, Mysore Region, shall cease to be a member of the said Regional Board from 3-7-67 and in his place nominates under clause (e) of sub-regulation (1) of regulation 10, Shri Y. N. Gangadhara Setty to be a member of the Regional Board, Mysore Region, and directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of

the Employees' State Insurance Corporation No. 3(2)-1/62-Estt.III dated 25-3-1966, namely :—

In the said notification, against item No. 5 for the entry "Shri A. Krishnamurthi" the entry "Shri Y. N. Gangadhara Setty" shall be substituted.

T. C. PURI
Director General

INDUSTRIAL FINANCE CORPORATION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 15th September 1967

No. 4/67.—In pursuance of Regulation 34 of the General Regulation of the Corporation, it is hereby NOTIFIED that the following valid nominations of candidates for election as directors on the Board of Directors of the Corporation have been received namely :—

- (i) nomination for election of one director representing shareholders referred to in clause (c) of Section 10(1) of the Industrial Finance Corporation Act, 1948 (XV of 1948) in favour of Shri B. K. Dutt, Managing Director, United Bank of India Ltd., Calcutta;
- (ii) nomination for election of one director representing shareholders referred to in clause (d) of the said Section in favour of Shri S. D. Srinivasan, Managing Director, Life Insurance Corporation of India, Bombay;
- (iii) nomination for election of one director representing shareholders referred to in clause (e) of the said Section in favour of Shri P. S. Rajagopal Naidu, B.Sc. B.L., Prema Kutir, 11, Infantry Road, Vellore.

2. As the number of valid nominations so received is equal to the number of vacancies to be filled, the above candidates are, in accordance with the said Regulation, deemed to be elected as directors of the Corporation at the Nineteenth Annual General Meeting of the shareholders of the Corporation convened for the purpose on Thursday, the 28th September, 1967 at 4.00 P.M. at Hotel Imperial, Janpath, New Delhi-1.

3. It is further notified that in pursuance of Regulation 60 read with Regulation 34 of the General Regulations of the Corporation, only one valid nomination for election of an Auditor under Section 34(1) of the Industrial Finance Corporation Act, 1948 (XV of 1948) has been received in favour of M/s. S. B. Billimoria & Co., Chartered Accountants, 113, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Fort, Bombay and as such the said M/s. S. B. Billimoria & Co., are deemed to be elected as auditor at the said Annual General Meeting.

C. D. KHANNA,
General Manager

PANJAB UNIVERSITY

V

The Senate, in circulation, has approved the recommendations of Syndicate (Paras 12 and 78 dated 19-11-1966) that the following Fellows be assigned to the Faculties noted against their names :—

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Shri Gurmukh Singh Musafir, Chief Minister, Punjab, Chandigarh. (<i>ex-officio</i>). | 1. Languages. 2. Arts. 3. Education. |
| 2. Shri Bhagwat Dyal Sharma, Chief Minister & Education Minister, Haryana, Chandigarh (<i>ex-officio</i>). | 1. Languages 2. Arts. 3. Education. 4. Dairying & Animal Husbandry. |
| 3. Dr. Y. S. Parmar, Chief Minister & Education Minister, Himachal Pradesh, Simla, (<i>ex-officio</i>) | 1. Arts. 2. Law. 3. Education. 4. Agriculture. |
| 4. Shri Prem Singh Prem, Education Minister, Punjab, Chandigarh. (<i>ex-officio</i>). | 1. Languages 2. Law. 3. Engineering & Technology. 4. Education. |
| 5. Dr. M. S. Randhawa, D.Sc., Chief Commissioner, Union Territory of Chandigarh, Chandigarh. (<i>ex-officio</i>). | 1. Arts. 2. Science & Mathematics. 3. Agriculture 4. Design & Fine Arts. |
| 6. Prof. Balwant Singh, M.A., Director of Public Instruction, Punjab, Chandigarh. (<i>ex-officio</i>). | 1. Arts. 2. Science & Mathematics. 3. Education. 4. Design & Fine Arts. |
| 7. Shri D. I. Lall, M.A. (London), Joint Director of Public Instruction, Haryana, Chandigarh | 1. Arts. 2. Science & Mathematics. 3. Education. 4. Design & Fine Arts. |
| 8. Prof. Gurdev Singh, Head of the Geography Deptt., Panjab University, Chandigarh | 1. Science & Mathematics. 2. Languages. 3. Commerce. 4. Dairying & Animal Husbandry. |
| 9. Dr. Goverdhan Lal Bakhshi, D.Sc., Principal, Govt. College, Chandigarh | 1. Arts. 2. Science & Mathematics. 3. Engineering & Technology. 4. Education. |
| 10. Shri Harbhajan Singh M.A., Head of the English Deptt., Lyallpur Khalsa College, Jullundur. | 1. Languages 2. Arts. 3. Education. 4. Design & Fine Arts. |
| 11. Shri S. L. Dhall, M.A., M.O.L., Head of the Geography Deptt., Arya College, Ludhiana. | 1. Arts. 2. Science & Mathematics. 3. Commerce. 4. Design & Fine Arts. |

SUJAN SINGH
Registrar

PANJAB UNIVERSITY (CHANDIGARH)

SENATE meeting held in the Senate Room, Panjab University Office, Sector 14, Chandigarh, on Saturday, December 10, 1966, at 10 A.M.

VI

MINUTES

PRESENT :

1. Shri Suraj Bhan, Vice-Chancellor (*In the Chair*)
2. Prof. Abdul Majid Khan
3. Shri Ajaib Singh
4. Shri A. N. Sharma
5. Prof. Balwant Singh
6. Shri Bhagat Ram
7. Principal Bishan Singh Samundri
8. Principal B. L. Kapur
9. Principal B. S. Bahl
10. Principal C. L. Arora
11. Prof. D. C. Sharma
12. Shri D. I. Lall
13. Shri Dewan Singh
14. Prof. D. N. Shukla
15. Principal Gurbax Singh Shergill
16. Shri Gurbakhsh Singh
17. Prof. Gurdev Singh
18. Shri Gurdial Singh Dhillon
19. Principal G. C. Khanna
20. Shri G. L. Chopra
21. Prof. G. P. Sharma
22. Dr. Goverdhan Lal Bakhshi
23. Shri Harbhajan Singh
24. Principal Harnam Singh
25. Prof. H. P. Dwivedi
26. Shri Jagjit Singh
27. Shri Jiwan Lal Tiwari
28. Shri K. I. Sharma
29. Dr. Kundan Lal Jaura
30. Shri K. L. Sethi
31. Dr. K. L. Wig
32. Ch. Khurshad Ahmad
33. Kumari Lajja Vati
34. Dr. L. S. Negi
35. Shri Mahua Singh
36. Shri Manohar Lal Anand
37. Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan
38. Principal Mohan Singh
39. Principal Narain Das Grover
40. Principal O. P. Dogra
41. Principal O. P. Mohan
42. Prof. O. P. Sharma
43. Shri Parshotam Loomba
44. Principal Pradeep Kumar
45. Shri Pritam Singh
46. Principal P. C. Joshi
47. Principal P. L. Anand
48. Prof. P. N. Mehra
49. Dr. P. S. Gill
50. Shri Pyare Lal Beri
51. Shri Rala Ram
52. Shri Ram Chandra
53. Shri Ram Chander Javed
54. Prof. Ram Chand Paul
55. Pt. Ram Dhari Gaur

56. Principal Ram Singh Sachdev
57. Mrs. R. L. Sethi
58. Shri R. N. Safaya
59. Prof. R. R. Sethi
60. Dr. Santokh Singh Anand
61. Dr. Sansar Chandra
62. Dr. Sher Singh
63. Principal S. D. Vashist
64. Shri S. L. Dhall
65. Principal S. S. Gill
66. Ch. Suraj Mal
67. Shri Tapinder Singh
68. Shri Tek Chand
69. Miss Vidya Anand
70. Miss V. G. Bhan
71. Dr. V. S. Puri
72. S. Sujan Singh, Registrar.

I. Before taking up the agenda, the Vice-Chancellor referred to the extremely sad demise of Shri G. D. Sondhi who was a distinguished product of the Panjab University and rendered eminent services to the cause of education not only in this State but the entire country. As the members were aware, he was Principal of the Government College, Lahore, in the united Panjab and was, for many years, a Fellow of the Panjab University as also a member of the Syndicate. Shri G. D. Sondhi dedicated his life to education, Sports and Youth Welfare. It was his great contribution which brought Indian on the sports map of the World. Shri G. D. Sondhi was pioneer of the Olympic Movement and Founder of Asian Games. He was also Founder of the Open-Air Theatre movement. As Honorary Adviser to the Government of India in the Department of Youth Welfare, he rendered ample services to the youth of this country and tried to inculcate the basic idea that discipline, in order to be effective and fruitful, may not be imposed from without and should arise from within. He was a man of conviction and, as the members knew, stood by his Olympic principles at the Asian Games at Jakarta in 1962, even though he knew that this meant peril to his life. As a Professor at the Government College, Lahore, though he knew that the then Principal, Mr. Hemmy, frowned on everything Indian, he was a great believer in Indianness and used to take pride in putting on Indian dress, like turban, achkan and churidars, while other colleagues including Indian Professors, used to take pride in donning European dress. On the day of his death, he sent an article to the Tribune making suggestions about the student unrest. This, the Vice-Chancellor said, may be considered his last will and testament.

The Vice-Chancellor then referred to another sad demise that of Dr. Dewan Chand, Ex-Vice-Chancellor of Agra University. He too was an alumnus of Panjab University. He was at one time a teacher at the D.A.V. College, Lahore, and later shifted to U.P. to take up Principalship of the newly established D.A.V. College, Kanpur. He was not only a teacher of Philosophy but was a philosopher to the finger-tips. He studied and taught Indian religion and his entire life was more or less a sermon. Dr. Dewan Chand was also connected with the Panjab University as a Fellow for a number of years.

The members of the Senate mourned the sad deaths of Shri G. D. Sondhi and Dr. Dewan Chand; all members standing in silence as a mark of respect to the memory of the two eminent educationists and offering homage to their memory.

II. The Registrar read out item No. I on the agenda, viz.—

“To elect (by Majority Vote) two Fellows, who are not members of Syndicate, as Members of Board of Finance :

for the next term (February 1, 1967 to January 31, 1968), under Regulation 26 at page 186 of the Calendar, Volume 1, 1966."

NOTE :—The following names had been duly proposed and seconded :

1. Shri C. L. Arora, M.Sc., Principal D.A.V. College, Amritsar.
2. Shri Gurbax Singh, Shergill, M.A., Principal, S. N. College Banga (Jullundur).
3. Dr. Kundan Lal Jaura, M.Sc., Ph.D., Reader Chemistry Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh.
4. Shri Mahna Singh, M.A., B.T., Principal, G.G.S. Khalsa Higher Secondary School, Sarhali (Amritsar).
5. Shri Parshotam Loomba, M.A., B.T., D.L.Sc., Headmaster, Govt High School, Sanaur (Patiala).
6. Shri Tek Chand, M.A., M.O.L., Doaba College, Jullundur.

The Registrar stated that Principal C. L. Arora had withdrawn from the election.

Shri Tek Chand announced that he had decided to withdraw from the election.

The members present cast their votes. The Vice-Chancellor appointed Principals P. C. Joshi and Ram Singh Sachdev as tellers. The votes having been counted, the following were declared duly elected as members of the Board of Finance for the term 1-2-1967 to 31-1-1968, under Regulation 26 at page 186 of the Calendar, Vol. I. :—

1. Shri Gurbax Singh Shergill, M.A., Principal, S. N. College, Banga (Jullundur).

1. Dr. O. P. Mahajan, Lecturer in Chemistry, Department of Chemical Engineering and Technology.
2. Shri Sham Sunder Dawra, Tutor, English Department, ..
3. Shri R. S. Gambhir, Lecturer in Physics
4. Shri B. R. Sreenathan, Lecturer in Chemistry (at present Research Officer, N. B. S. Scheme).
5. Shri H. S. Koonar, temporary Lecturer in the Department of Chemical Engineering and Technology.
6. Shri Bakshish Singh Samagh, Lecturer, Microbiology Department.
7. Shri Y. S. Bhatia, Lecturer, Department of Chemical Engineering and Technology.
8. Shri D. P. Kapil, Reader, Regional Centre-for-Post-Graduate Studies, Simla.
9. Shri V. K. Mehra, Instructor, in Mathematics, Evening College, Jullundur.
10. Shri Vijay Ranchan, Instructor in English, Evening College, Jullundur.
11. Shri Harish Awasthi, Instructor in English, Evening College, Simla.
12. Dr. S. S. Sandhu, Reader in Chemistry
13. Dr. R. N. Dar, Lecturer, Pharmacy Department
14. Dr. Ranjit Singh, Instructor in Hindi and Sanskrit, Evening College, Rohtak.
15. Shri Sham Lal Thapar, Lecturer in English
16. Shri R. S. Jain, Temporary Instructor, Department of Chemical Engineering and Technology.

2. Dr. Kundan Lal Jaura, M.Sc., Ph.D., Reader, Chemistry Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

III. Items Nos. 2 to 5 were read out and unanimously approved, i.e.—

2. The changes in syllabuses and courses of reading as detailed in Statement 'A' (vide Appendix I).
3. The appointment of paper-setters and examiners, as detailed in Statement 'B' (vide Appendix II).
4. Grant of extension of affiliation to certain colleges, as detailed in Statement 'C' (vide Appendix III).
5. Grant of leave and officiating arrangements, as detailed in Statement 'D' (vide Appendix IV).

In regard to grant of leave to Dr. Harnam Singh Shan, Reader in Punjabi, under item 5, Principal Pradeep Kumar drew attention to the Senate minute dated 30-7-1966 and asked how leave had been recommended for this gentleman.

Vice-Chancellor replied that on return from abroad, Dr. Harnam Singh Shan had stated that he had not received University communications. It was for this reason that Syndicate had decided to grant leave.

IV. Items Nos. 6, 7 and 8 were read out and unanimously approved i.e.—

6. Supplementary grants, amounting to Rs. 4,10,300 as detailed in Statement 'E' (vide Appendix V).

7. That resignations of the following persons be accepted with effect from the dates noted against their names :

- 18-7-1966 (Afternoon) and the requirement of 3 months' notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 16-7-1966 and the requirement of one month's notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 28-8-1966 (Afternoon).
- 1-9-1966 and the requirement of 3 months' notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 17-9-1966 (Afternoon) and the requirement of 3 months' notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 31-8-1966 (Afternoon) and the requirement of 3 months' notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 1-9-1966 and the requirement of 3 months' notice be waived. (Para 1 and 52, 27-38-1966)
- 25-8-1966 (Afternoon) and the requirement of 3 months' notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 31-8-1966 (Afternoon) and the requirement of 3 months' notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 2-7-1966 (Afternoon) on the condition that he will forfeit salary for the period his notice falls short of one month. (Para 1, 17-9-1966)
- 5-9-1966 (Afternoon).
- 15-12-1966 (Afternoon) on the condition that he will forfeit salary for the period his notice falls short of 3 months.
- 3-11-1966 (Forenoon) on the condition that he will forfeit salary for the period his notice falls short of 3 months.
- 28-10-1966 (Afternoon).
- 30-9-1966 (Afternoon) and the requirement of one month's notice be relaxed to the extent to which it is short.
- 31-8-1966 (Afternoon) and the requirement of one month's notice be waived. (Para 1, 21-10-1966 and Para 1, 19-11-1966)

8. That the following persons be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty noted against their names :

| Sl. No. | Name | Faculty |
|---------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Tilak Raj | Medical Sciences |
| 2. | Gurdip Singh | Arts |
| 3. | Wishwanatha Prasad | Languages (<i>Paras</i> 5, 6 and 141, 27-8-1966) |
| 4. | Sant Lal Chopra | Science and Mathematics (<i>Para</i> 2, 17-9-1966) |
| 5. | Raj Deo Singh | Languages |
| 6. | Shrimati Sanyukta | Languages |
| 7. | Subhash Chander | Science and Mathematics |
| 8. | Jatinder Mohan Kohli | Science and Mathematics (<i>Paras</i> 6, 9, 10 and 94, 21-10-1966) |
| 9. | Sat Dev Sharma | Science and Mathematics |
| 10. | Darshan Singh | Languages |
| 11. | Vas Dev Sharma | Languages (<i>Paras</i> 7, 8 and 9, 19-11-1966) |

V. Item No. 9 on the agenda was read out, viz.,

9. That appointment of the following persons in the posts, and pay-scales, etc., noted against their names, be approved :

| Person recommended for appointment | Post | Pay-scale | Salary per month | Remarks |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| | | | Rs. | |
| In order of merit : | | | | |
| 1. (a) Dr. Moti Lal Raina, M.A., Ph.D. (b) Dr. (Mrs.) N. Mukerjee, M.A., Ph. D. (c) Shri R. N. Seth, M.A., (d) Shri V. J. Isa Dass, M.A. | Readers in English | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | — | — |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| In order of merit : | | | | |
| 2. (a) Dr. (Miss) Madabusi, S. C. Savithri, M.A., Ph. D. (b) Shri J. C. Anand M.A. | Readers in Political Science. | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | — | — |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Waiting list : | | | | |
| (c) Dr. Z. M. Quarishi, M.A., Ph.D. (d) Dr. P. D. Kaushik, M.A., Ph.D. | | | | |
| 3. (a) Shri Jatinder Kohli, M.Sc., (Hons. School). (b) Shri T. S. Cheema, M.Sc. (Hons. School). (c) Shri Gyan Chand Upredi, M.Sc. (d) Dr. K. N. Shrivastava, M. Sc., Ph. D. (e) Shri U. S. Kushwaha, M.Sc. | Lecturers in Physics | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 490-00 | |
| | | | 430-00 | |
| | | | 490-00 | |
| | | | 460-00 | |
| | | | 460-00 | |
| 4. (a) Shri Yoginder Kumar Mehta, M.A. (b) Shri Ram Prakash Pahwa, M.A. | Lecturers in Mathematics. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800. | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. (a) Shri J. P. Gupta, LL. M., (b) Shri Surrinder Singh Boparai, LL.M. | Lecturers in Law | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 490-00 | |
| | | | 430-00 | |
| In order of merit : | | | | |
| 6. (a) Dr. G. N. Rajguru, M.A. (Sanskrit), M.A. (Hindi), Ph.D. (b) Dr. Ganga Prasad Uniyal, M.A., Ph.D. (c) Dr. D. P. Sarin, M.A., Ph.D. (d) Dr. Mrs. Krishna Sharma, M.A., Ph.D. (e) Shri Maithili Prasad Bharadwaj, M.A. (f) Mrs. Pushpa Bansal, M.A. (g) Shri Raj Deo Singh, M.A. | Lecturers in Hindi | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 550-00 | |
| | | | 490-00 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 400-00 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 400-00 | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|--|----------------------------|----------|---|
| 7. (a) Dr. Hem Raj Nimmam, M.A., Ph.D. (b) Shri Parmeshwar Lal Mhamia, M.A. (c) Dr. Rajender Krishan Bhanot, M.A., Ph.D. | Instructors in Hindi | Rs. 350-20-450-25-600 | Rs. — | |
| 8. Dr. J. L. Khanna | Professor of Psychology. | Rs. 1,000-50-1,500 | — | Vice Chancellor authorised to negotiate terms with Dr. J. L. Khanna. |
| 9. Dr. B. B. Chakrabarty, M.Sc., Ph.D. | Reader in Mathematics, Deptt. of Chemical Engineering & Technology. | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | 740-00 | — |
| 10. Dr. B. L. Sharma, M.A., Ph.D. | Reader in Mathematics, Post-Graduate Regional Centre, Simla | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | — | |
| 11. Dr. V. N. Tiwari, M.A., Ph.D. | Reader in Panjabi | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | — | |
| 12. Dr. B. S. Tiwari, M.Sc., Ph.D. | Professor of Palaeontology, Stratigraphy and Himalayan Geology | Rs. 1,000-50-1,500 | — | |
| 13. (a) Dr. S.D. Tripathi, M. Com., LL.B., Ph.D. (b) Shri B. L. Bansal, M. Com. LL.B. Waiting list : Dr. B. N. Mehrotra | Readers in Commerce | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | — | |
| 14. Dr. Prem Nath, M.A., Ph.D. | Professor of Philosophy | Rs. 1,000-50-1,500 | — | |
| 15. (a) Dr. A. B. Mukerji, M.A., Ph.D. (b) Shri J. C. Sen, M.A. In order of merit : | Readers in Geography | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | 740-00 | |
| 16. (a) Dr. Ganpati Chandra Gupta, M.A., Ph.D., D. Litt. (b) Dr. Ramesh Kuntal Megh, M.A., Ph.D. Waiting list : Dr. J. B. Goyal | Readers in Hindi | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | — | |
| 17. Shri K. Ramakrishnan, M.A. | Lecturer in Tamil | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 490-00 | |
| 18. Shri Jaswant Singh Jiwan, M.Sc. Waiting list : Shri P. K. Mehta, M.Sc. | Lecturer in Geology | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | — | Subject to his executing a bond to serve the university for 3 years. |
| 19. (a) Dr. (Mrs.) Usha Kanwar, M.Sc., (HS), Ph.D. (b) Dr. O. P. Mittal, M.Sc., (HS), Ph.D. (c) Dr. M. L. Sareen, M.Sc., (HS), Ph.D. (d) Shri Sawal Singh, M.Sc., (HS) (e) Shri M. L. Gupta, M.Sc., (HS) | Lecturers in Zoology. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | — | Persons at (a), (b) and (c) appointed against permanent posts and at (d) & (e) against temporary posts. |
| 20. Dr. I. S. Gupta, M.Sc., Ph.D. | Professor of Chemical Technology. | Rs. 1,000-50-1,500 | 1,100-00 | — |
| 21. Shri P. K. Mehta | Research Associate in the Advanced Centre of Geology. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 400-00 | — |
| (Paras 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 31 and 165, 27-8-1966) | | | | |
| 22. Shri S. L. Prasher | Temporary Lecturer in History of Art in the Deptt. of Fine Arts, till the post is filled up after selection. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 800-00 | |

(Para 9, 17-9-1966)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Rs. | |
| 23. (a) Shri B. S. Rathor | Lecturer in Commerce | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 550.00 | — |
| Failing him— Shri A. K. Srivastav | | Do. | 520.00 | |
| (b) Shri R. C. Verma | Lecturer in Mathematics, Deptt. of Commerce and Business Management. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | Salary to be fixed by the Vice-Chancellor. | — |
| 24. Shri G. L. Nagpal | Temporary Instructor in English, Evening College, Jullundur till the post is filled up after selection. | Rs. 350-20-450-25-600 | 350.00 | — |
| 25. Shri Karan Dewan Gupta | Temporary Assistant Architect. | Rs. 300-25-500 | Rs. 300.00 plus allowances as admissible under the rules. | — |
| (Paras 14, 15 and 16, 21-10-1966) | | | | |
| 26. Dr. R. R. Sethi, M.A., Ph.D. | Professor of History | Rs. 1,000-50-1,500 | — | — |
| 27. Shri S. R. Nayar, M.Sc. | Deputy Registrar | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | 700.00 | — |
| 28. Dr. A. C. Minocha | Reader in Economics | Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100 | 820.00 | — |
| 29. Dr. G. Dass | Research Associate in the Advanced Centre of Mathematics. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 | 640.00 | — |
| 30. Shri Hans Raj | Temporary Instructor in Mathematics at the Evening College, Chandigarh, till the post is filled up after selection. | Rs. 350-20-450-25-600 | 500.00 | — |
| (Paras 14, 15, 16 and 96, 19-11-1966) | | | | |

The Registrar stated that in regard to the appointments at items 6 and 7, the Syndicate has decided that the Vice-Chancellor be authorised to fix initial salaries in the case of those who had asked for higher start.

Shri Gurbakhsh Singh Dhariwal said that ordinarily the Senate never objects to such appointments but as he felt that the appointment of Dr. V. N. Tewari as Reader in Punjabi was not correct, he considered it his duty to draw attention of the members of the Senate to the matter. Shri Gurbakhsh Singh Dhariwal said that this appointment was not in accordance with the previous practice. Persons on the staff of the University were preferred even though they were only M.As. He referred to two other appointments, viz. of Shri J. C. Anand as Reader in Political Science and of Shri R. N. Seth as Reader in English. These two gentlemen had been preferred to Ph.Ds. who were outsiders. In a number of other cases also, persons who were already teachers in the University were preferred. In Punjabi, however, one of the present teachers, namely Shri Ram Singh, had been ignored. This teacher, he said, had written books which had been commended. He read out extract from a book by Shri Roshan Lal Ahuja. Shri Gurbakhsh Singh Dhariwal also stated that at the Selection Committee meeting three members were in favour of making a promotion from the department. The Selection Committee, however, decided that the Vice-Chancellor may discuss the matter with the Head of the Department and then make recommendations on behalf of the Committee. He, however, had been told that the Vice-Chancellor consulted three members of the Selection Committee and then made his recommendations. Shri Gurbakhsh Singh Dhariwal proposed that the appointment of Dr. V. N. Tewari be postponed and another Selection Committee be appointed.

Shri Gurdial Singh Dhillon remarked that the observations made by Shri Gurbakhsh Singh Dhariwal were not based on correct information. Shri Dhillon said that he

himself was a member of the selection committee. One of the members of the Committee was Prof. Pritam Singh who did not attend on the ground that one of the applicants was a relation of his. Another applicant, Dr Uppal, was related to Dr. S. S. Kohli, Head of the Punjabi Department, but he attended the Committee meeting. The claims of Shri Ram Singh were considered by the Committee seriously. Dr. S. S. Kohli had said that Shri Ram Singh was not a Ph.D. and had suggested that Dr. Uppal of Delhi was a better candidate than Shri Ram Singh of any other. Some members of the Selection Committee felt that if a person who held a Ph.D. degree was to be selected, why not the one who had Ph.D. from the Panjab University Dr. V. N. Tewari had been selected as Reader by the Punjabi University, but some members of the Selection Committee thought that he should be selected by the Panjab University so that he may be retained at Chandigarh. Dr. Kohli however, said that Dr. Tewari was not a good teacher. Ultimately, the matter was left to the Vice-Chancellor who was requested to look into the facts relating to Dr. V. N. Tewari while Shri Ram Singh was a competent teacher, the opinion of Dr. Kohli was accepted by the Committee that a person holding Ph.D. degree should be appointed. One of the outside experts, Dr. Gopal Singh, was of the view that Dr. V. N. Tewari was a better person. When Dr. Uppal was ruled out for that reason Dr. Kohli expressed the opinion that Shri Ram Singh was better than Dr. Tewari. For all these reasons, the matter was left to the Vice-Chancellor. It was not correct. Shri Dhillon stated, that the Vice-Chancellor had to make recommendation in consultation with the Head of the Department. The decision was that the Vice-Chancellor should look into the statements of Dr. Kohli and his opinion expressed at the meeting and then send a unanimous recommendation to the Syndicate on behalf of the Selection Committee.

Principal Gurbax Singh Shergill raising a point of order said that the persons being discussed were present in the

galleries. He felt that individuals should not be discussed and, therefore, a committee be appointed to go into the whole matter and report. This was not accepted by the House.

The Vice-Chancellor said that procedure for the various appointments in the University was laid down by the regulations. In accordance with that, Selection Committees are appointed by Syndicate and their recommendations are considered by Syndicate before submitting them to Senate. In this regard, the Senate had been following very healthy conventions and recommendations of the Selection Committees are usually accepted. If recommendations of Selection Committees are discussed by Senate in detail, there would be no end of trouble. If, however, there was an error or a constitutional breach, then the matter could be discussed by the Senate. The members should not discuss such matters on the basis of approaches made by rejected applicants. He urged the members not to lend themselves to the tactics that are sometimes adopted by the rejected applicant's. A number of them had addressed representations to the Chancellor who had, after obtaining comments of the Vice-Chancellor, upheld every appointment recommended by the Syndicate. He requested the members to bear in mind the healthy conventions so far followed by the Senate. In regard to the appointment under discussion, one member had opposed it and another had given a reply. But the Vice-Chancellor felt that he should clarify the position further. He stated that there was difference of opinion at the Selection Committee. He decided not to vote, or else a majority recommendation would have gone to the Syndicate. In case the opinion of the Head of the Department about Dr. Tewari being an unsatisfactory teacher was found correct, Shri Ram Singh was to be put down as No. 1 and Dr. V. N. Tewari as No. 2. But if his opinion was not found to be correct, Dr. V. N. Tewari was to be put down No. 1 and Shri Ram Singh as No. 2. The Head of the Department had said that Dr. Tewari's work as a teacher was not satisfactory. Dr. Tewari, however, produced a certificate given to him by the Head of the Department saying that he was an excellent teacher and had produced very fine results. On being questioned about this, Dr. Kohli had said that he had given this certificate for use by Dr. Tewari for the Panjabi University, Patiala, but he would have given a different certificate for the Panjab University. The Vice-Chancellor said that he told Dr. Kohli that this amounted to lack of intellectual integrity. Further, the Vice-Chancellor added that it was not correct that Dr. V. N. Tewari was an outsider. On the other hand, Dr. Tewari was a lecturer at this University and so was Shri Ram Singh. Shri Ram Singh, however, joined earlier. Dr. Tewari had already obtained his Ph.D. degree while Shri Ram Singh had registered for it now. Dr. Tewari was a first class first M.A. of this University. The Vice-Chancellor said that he had asked Dr. Kohli if Dr. Tewari was good enough for Readership at the Panjabi University. Why he was not thought suitable for our University. Dr. Kohli had replied that Panjabi University had appointed Dr. Tewari because he was a Hindu. The Vice-Chancellor emphatically declared that communal considerations should have no place in academic appointments. For all these reasons, the Vice-Chancellor had placed Dr. Tewari as No. 1 and Shri Ram Singh as No. 2 and this was unanimously approved by the Syndicate.

The Senate decided to approve Dr. V. N. Tewari's appointment as Reader in Panjabi, as recommended by the Syndicate.

Principal B. L. Kapur drew attention to the recommendations of the Syndicate for the appointment of Shri R. N. Seth as Reader in English (Item-1) and said that he had got his dissent recorded at the Syndicate meeting in regard to this appointment. While he agreed broadly with the lead given by the Vice-Chancellor for acceptance of the recommendations of the Selection Committees and the Syndicate, Principal Kapur said that there was provision in the regulations that a Reader should have produced

some research work of merit. In the case of Shri R. N. Seth, this requirement had not been met. He suggested that persons who were being appointed as Readers should not be confirmed till they had produced research work of merit. If this was done, he would not oppose their appointment.

Prof. D. C. Sharma said that at the Selection Committee meeting, after the interviews are over, the Vice-Chancellor generally asked outside experts for their opinion of the various applicant's. One of the members of the Selection Committee in English was Principal Gursewak Singh who was not present at the Senate meeting or else he would have asked him to give his opinion as a member of the Senate. Agreeing that research qualifications were good, Prof. Sharma said that there was a distinction between a good teacher and a good researcher. He said that no regulation had been violated. Shri R. N. Seth had worked with Prof. D. C. Sharma, first at Hoshiarpur and later at Chandigarh. Shri Seth had also worked with Dr. Raj Kumar who was now Head of the English Department. He himself was of the opinion that Shri R. N. Seth was an excellent teacher. Dr. Raj Kumar was also of the same opinion. At present, Shri R. N. Seth was doing some research. He proposed that the recommendations of the Syndicate be approved and the suggestion for laying down a condition for confirmation be rejected. By acceptance of the recommendation of the Syndicate, the rejected applicants would be discouraged from indulging in canvassing and making false representations.

Dr. Goverdhan Lall remarked that discussion at Senate meeting of merits and demerits of the applicants for University posts gave a poor picture of the House. He was of the opinion that merits and demerits of the various applicants should be discussed by the Selection Committees and, if necessary, by the Syndicate. The Senate meeting, he said, was open to the Press and if all the discussion was reported there, it would not do any credit to this august body. He was in favour of having a rule of self-denial at the Senate stage whereby individual merits and demerits could be left to the Selection Committees and the Syndicate.

Principal Jagjit Singh agreeing with Dr. Goverdhan Lall that discussion of individual cases, as far as possible, be avoided at the Senate meetings, said that thorough discussion and screening be left to the Selection Committees and the Syndicate. If, however, facts are brought to the notice of a member of the Senate, members of the Selection Committees and the Syndicate should show awareness and sensitiveness.

Principal Pritam Singh said that he too had his dissent recorded at the Syndicate meeting in regard to appointment of Shri R. N. Seth as Reader in English. Agreeing that the Senate should not discuss individuals, he said that this should not mean that the authority of this body should be taken away. Pointing out the requirements of regulations, Principal Pritam Singh felt that there had been a breach of the regulations. He thought that the Readership of the University should not become so cheap as to select persons who had done no research and had not written any book, especially when persons with a doctorate degree were available. He did not understand how a person without a doctor's degree would guide and promote research. Admitting that he had no knowledge about Shri R. N. Seth, Principal Pritam Singh said that the authority of the Senate was supreme and both the Selection Committee and the Syndicate were subordinate to the Senate.

Principal O. P. Mohan said that he agreed with Dr. Goverdhan Lall that normally matters such as appointments should be a formality with the Senate and this, he thought, the members have been observing. He also agreed with the suggestion that individuals should not be discussed by the Senate, but this should not amount to the rights of the Senate being taken away even formally.

There could always, he said, be a change that some information may not have been available to the Selection Committee and even the Syndicate. The regulations provided for appointment of a special committee of the Senate. He suggested that the proposal of Principal Gurbax Singh Shergill should be accepted. He also said that as there had been dissent at the Syndicate meeting, the best course would have been for the Vice-Chancellor to get in touch with the dissenting members and find out the reasons why they opposed the recommendation. He was not in favour of deciding matters by vote. Principal Mohan added that there were not many instances in which Readers had been appointed in the past without such a qualification. He wanted to know whether departure was going to be made from that practice, and, if so, the provisions in the regulations should say that there shall be two categories of Professors and Readers—good teachers and good researchers. But, until such a provision is made in the regulations he agreed with Principal Kapur's suggestion that persons who were being appointed as Readers without possessing Ph.D. degree should not be confirmed till they had obtained a research degree.

Shri Ram Chandra said that he had listened to some of the speeches with a great sense of depression. He said that minutes of Selection Committees were confidential and so were minutes of Syndicate meetings. But the Senate members had been discussing not only merits of individual candidates but also the opinion expressed by certain members of the Selection Committee. Certain remarks had also been made about some employees of the University who were not present to defend themselves. There was no doubt, he said, that the regulation laid down that a Reader should have done some research and should be able to guide research. So long as this provision remained in the regulations, a person who did not possess the required qualifications should not be appointed. He, however, did not think that it was necessary to possess Ph.D. degree for guiding research. All that the University should see is whether the person being appointed is capable of doing the duties which he is going to perform. Continuing Shri Ram Chandra said that he had always held that a 3rd class M.A. with Ph.D. degree may not be better than a 1st class M.A. He did not agree that the recommendations of the Syndicate should be accepted without any discussion. But, when a matter of this kind is discussed the house should be cleared of the public and only then details such as discussion at the Selection Committee and the Syndicate may be mentioned.

Shri K. L. Sethi shared with Shri Ram Chandra the depression that had been generated at the Senate meeting. He agreed with Dr. Goverdhan Lall that recommendations of the Selection Committees should not be questioned particularly when such Committees had been appointed in accordance with the regulations. That, however, was not possible when the feelings of members had been vitiated by the results of the elections held on the previous day. He agreed with Shri Ram Chandra that when individual cases were to be discussed the galleries should be cleared. He suggested that a convention should be established that the merits of the candidates should, if necessary, be looked into by the Chancellor. The regulation did not lay down that a Reader should possess Ph.D. or any other doctorate degree. All that the regulation said was that a Reader should be able to guide and promote research. He agreed with Shri Ram Chandra that the University should see that the person being selected was suitable. Properly appointed Selection Committees were more suitable to judge an applicant's suitability. However, care should be taken to see that the Committees were properly constituted. The Senate should accept the recommendations of the Selection Committees.

The Vice-Chancellor agreeing with Shri Ram Chandra said that discussion held at the Selection Committees and the Syndicate were sometimes known to the applicants. However, when points about what had happened at the

Selection Committee are raised at the Senate meeting, it became incumbent on the Vice-Chancellor to furnish facts so that the house was not misled. He suggested that the member should see that deliberations at the Selection Committees and the Syndicate remained secret. The Vice-Chancellor said that the Selection Committee for the appointment under discussion consisted of Principal Gursevak Singh, Prof. D. C. Sharma, Prof. Raj Kumar, Prof. Saroop Singh of Delhi University and Prof. M. M. Bhalla of Rajasthan University, who was himself an M.A. and had worked for many years as Head of the Department of English at St. Stephens College, Delhi. Prof. Saroop Singh could not attend the meeting because of sudden illness. After all the candidates had been interviewed, he had asked for the opinion of the expert, pointing out to him the requirements of regulations. The Selection Committee accepted recommendation of the outside expert in this appointment. Of the four persons recommended, two held Ph.D. degree and the other two held M.A. degree only. Another factor which weighed with the Committee was that Readers were being appointed for the Post-Graduate Regional Centres. In the nature of things, there had to be greater emphasis on teaching at the Regional Centres. In the University Department, however, there had to be more emphasis on research. The Vice-Chancellor said that research and teaching were both important. Under the regulations, the Selection Committee had to pay regard to a candidate's capacity for research and his ability as a teacher. But the Vice-Chancellor added, it was difficult to compare research in one subject with research in another subject. For instance, research in a language cannot be equated with research in a Science subject. Research in English was exceptionally deficient in India as research material was not available. Vice-Chancellor also said that in the joint Panjab, Professor A. S. Bokhari and Prof. M. G. Singh were two top teachers for M.A. classes in the subject of English, but they had not produced any research work. The Vice-Chancellor agreed with Shri Ram Chandra that it was not possible to generalise and say that all Ph.D.'s were better than all M.A.'s. It had to be left to the Selection Committees how the various candidates impressed them. About Shri R. N. Sethi, all what Vice-Chancellor could say was that he had created a good impression on the committee and the Head of the Department had reported that Shri R. N. Sethi was a fine teacher.

The Senate approved recommendation of the Syndicate for appointment of Shri R. N. Sethi as Reader in English.

Principal B. L. Kapur drew attention to the recommendation of the Syndicate for appointment of Dr. R. R. Sethi as Professor of History (No. 26), and said that the University should see how this appointment would affect the students. He had got his dissent recorded at the Syndicate meeting very hesitatingly. Some evidence, he said, had been brought to his notice. It indicated that a member of the Selection Committee once sent a very damaging opinion in writing and that was being circulated somewhere. That evidence, he said, should be considered before the appointment of Dr. R. R. Sethi is decided. He suggested that a committee should be appointed to consider that evidence.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that Dr. R. R. Sethi's appointment had been recommended by a Selection Committee appointed by the Syndicate. The Selection Committee consisted of by Dr. Mehr Chand Mahajan, Dr. Nurul Hassan (Head of the Department of History at Muslim University, Aligarh), Shri P. N. Kirpal (Secretary, Ministry of Education), Prof. D. C. Sharma and the Vice-Chancellor. At the last minute, Shri P. N. Kirpal informed that he could not join as he had to leave for Paris for the Unesco meet. As a precaution, the Syndicate had appointed an additional outside expert, i.e. Dr. Bashe-shar Dyal, Head of the Department of History at Delhi University. He was requested to attend the meeting, but

he could not join because of some other urgent engagement. The Committee interviewed all the applicants properly. In this case also, the Vice-Chancellor had asked the outside expert to give his assessment. He was glad that Dr. Nurul Hassan gave a fine and fair assessment of all candidates. After that, the Committee unanimously recommended Dr. R. R. Sethi's appointment and the Syndicate had also recommended Dr. Sethi's appointment. It was now for the Senate to decide the matter.

The Senate approved recommendation of the Syndicate for appointment of Dr. R. R. Sethi, as Professor of History.

Prof. M. L. Anand referred to the recommendation of Syndicate for appointment of Shri S. R. Nayar as Deputy Registrar (No. 27), and asked whether this gentleman was involved in a case of forgery and cheating which was pending in the University. He also asked whether the University had instituted any enquiry.

The Vice-Chancellor replied that it was a sort of domestic quarrel in consequence of which the wife of Shri S. R. Nayar's younger brother, who was not pulling on well with her husband, had lodged a complaint with the University that copies of testimonials had been applied for, and Shri S. R. Nayar had attested the signatures of that lady. The Vice-Chancellor said that his feeling was that the University was not concerned with their domestic quarrel. He also said that it sometimes did happen that when an appointment is being made things of this type are trotted up. He did not think that a domestic quarrel should hold up an appointment.

Principal Gurbax Singh Shergill said that when Dr. Bool Chand was being appointed as Professor of Political science he had asked the then Vice-Chancellor, Dr. A. C. Joshi, about the allegations regarding his previous post, but Dr. Joshi had replied that that matter could be looked into before Dr. Bool Chand was confirmed. Later on, Dr. Bool Chand was appointed Vice-Chancellor of Kurukshetra University and was dismissed. Principal Shergill said that before appointing Shri S. R. Nayar, the point raised by Shri M. L. Anand should be looked into.

The Vice-Chancellor said that in the case of Dr. Bool Chand, the Ministry of Home Affairs, after due enquiry, had taken action and had issued a Notification. In the case under discussion, the complaint was that there was an application for a duplicate certificate by someone whose signatures had been attested by Shri S. R. Nayar. Shri S. R. Nayar was at present Registrar of Technical Education in the Panjab Government and a complaint had been lodged there also. He did not think that the appointment of Shri S. R. Nayar should be held up.

The Senate approved the recommendation of the Syndicate for appointment of Shri S. R. Nayar as Deputy Registrar.

RESOLVED : That recommendations of the Syndicate for various appointments as described above Nos. 1 to 30) be approved.

VI. Item Nos. 10 to 44 of the agenda were read out and unanimously approved *i.e.*—

10. That as the post of Professor of Sociology has to be readvertised in April/May next, the existing arrangements may be allowed to continue.

(Para 19, 27-8-1966)

11. That the designation of Dr. Dharam Vir be changed from Reader in Inorganic Technology to that of Reader in Chemical Engineering.

(Para 32, 27-8-1966)

12. That appointment on deputation of Shri Kuldip Singh as S.D.O. on the terms and conditions as detailed in Punjab Government's Memo No. 6527-BR II(2)-66/19339, dated July 1966, be approved.

(Para 33, 27-8-1966)

13. That Shri R. K. Jindia, Lecturer in Mathematics Department of Chemical Engineering and Technology, be allowed part-time teaching (9 periods a week) in the Department of Commerce & Business Management, outside duty hours, from 1-8-1966 to 31-8-1966, and he be paid an allowance of Rs. 200 per mensem for this extra work.

(Para 37, 27-8-1966)

14. That the following persons be confirmed in their posts with effect from the dates noted against their names :—

1. Dr. I. N. Gupta, Reader, Advanced Centre of Geology—14-7-1966.
2. Shri Suresh Chand Jain, Instructor, Chemical Engg. & Technology Department—18-1-1966.
3. Shri S. P. Chawla, Lecturer in Mathematics—8-7-1966.
4. Shri Satish Chander Mahajan, Instructor, Evening College, Simla—16-7-1966.
5. Dr. B. C. Pandey, Lecturer in Physics—1-7-1966.
6. Shri Hari Om Aggarwal, Instructor in English, Evening College, Simla—2-8-1966.
7. Dr. Jagdish Chand, Reader, Chemical Engg. & Technology Department—7-8-1966.
8. Dr. K. G. Gupta, Reader in Microbiology—28-8-1966.

(Para 16, 17-9-1966)

9. Shri K. D. Verma, Lecturer, English Department—31-8-1966.
10. Shri S. P. Anand, Lecturer, English Department—1-9-1966.

(Para 98, 21-10-1966)

11. Shri V. Radha Krishna Murthy, Lecturer in Physics—3-9-1966.
12. Dr. S. D. Bhanot, Reader in Hindi—17-3-1963.
13. Shri V. S. Mahajan, Lecturer in Economics—28-8-1966.
14. Shri V. K. Gupta, Lecturer in Economics—28-8-1966.
15. Shri B. B. Tandon, Lecturer in Economics—11-9-1966.
16. Shri Vishnu Datt Sharma, Instructor in English, Evening College, Jullundur—16-10-1966.
17. Shri Roshan Lal Anand, Office Superintendent—10-9-1965.
18. Shri Jai Ram Dass Dham, Office Superintendent—1-4-1966.
19. Shri Khem Singh, Office Superintendent—1-4-1966.

(Para 27, 19-11-1966)

15. That for purposes of confirmation, Shri R. N. Soni, Lecturer in Economics, be given benefit of the service put in by him as Lecturer in Public Administration, and he be confirmed in his post with effect from 15-9-1953.

(Para 39, 27-8-1966)

16. That for purposes of confirmation, Shri H. S. Dilligir, Lecturer in Journalism, be given benefit of the temporary service put in by him from 1-7-1965 to 27-3-1966, and he be confirmed in his post with effect from 1-7-1966.

(Para 40, 27-8-1966)

17. That for purposes of confirmation, Dr. I. D. Sharma, Lajpat Rai Professor of Political Science, be given benefit of temporary service put in by him from 1-7-1965 to 18-3-1966 and he be confirmed in his post with effect from 1-7-1966.

(Para 41, 27-8-1966)

18. That six more posts of part-time Lecturers in Law be created from this year's session.

(Para 43, 27-8-1966)

19. That the posts of two part-time Instructors (one in Economics and the other in Political Science) at the Evening College, Chandigarh, be converted into one post of whole-time Instructor in Economics and Political Science, in the grade of Rs. 350-30-450-25-600.

(Para 44, 27-8-1966)

20. That a sum of Rs. 11,000 be reappropriated from the budget Head "Temporary Establishment (Press)" to "Overtime Remuneration (Press)", for 1966-67.

(Para 46, 27-8-1966)

21. That a sum of Rs. 4,000 be reappropriated from the budget Head "Unforeseen" of the Regional Centre for Post-Graduate Studies, Simla, to budget Head "Contingencies" for the year 1966-67.

(Para 47, 27-8-1966)

22. That Dr. I. S. Mittra, Reader in Physics, who in the interest of the Department, had deferred his assignment at the Max Planck Institute, Munich, West Germany, be allowed to avail of it next year and he be granted leave without pay for one year during 1967-68.

(Para 50, 27-8-1966)

23. That Shri Yash Pal Sharma, Instructor in Mathematics, Evening College, Chandigarh, be granted study leave for two years with effect from the date fixed by the Vice-Chancellor.

(Para 51, 27-8-1966)

24. That Dr. S. S. Kumar, Lecturer in Botany, be granted two special increments for obtaining Ph.D. Degree.

(Para 54, 27-8-1966)

25. That in view of his academic qualifications, Shri I. S. Saini, Lecturer in Law, be granted accelerated promotion equivalent to three increments with effect from April 1, 1966.

(Para 55, 27-8-1966)

26. That the Micro-Analyst in the Department of Chemistry (Grade Rs 350-20-450-25-600) be classified as Class 'A' employee.

(Para 56, 27-8-1966)

27. That Shri Ajmer Singh, Instructor in Physical Education, who had been selected to represent India in Athletics at the British Empire and Commonwealth Games at Kingston from August 4, 1966, to August 13, 1966, and for participating in the International Competitions in Russia, be granted duty leave from July 11, 1966 to August 16, 1966.

(Para 57, 27-8-1966)

28. That Shri Guru Gobind Singh College, Chandigarh be granted provisional affiliation for Pre-University (Humanities and Science Groups) Pre-Engineering and B.A./B.Sc. Part I courses, with effect from the admissions of 1966 on the condition that the College must deposit the balance of Rs 20,000 towards Endowment Fund by the end of the current academic session.

(Para 73, 28-6-1966 and Para 93, 27-8-1966)

29. That proposed amendments and additions of the following regulations, with the modification suggested by the Syndicate, be approved.

(Paras 77 and 78, 27-8-1966, Para 56, 21-10-1966 and Paras 46 and 47, 19-11-1966).

(i) (a) AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS

1. Amendment of Regulation 7 under the Heading 'Academical Costumes' at page 125 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.

2. Addition of provis to—

- (i) Regulation 11 for B.Sc. in Home Science examination at page 88;
 - (ii) Regulation 6 for Certificate in Statistics (C. St.) examination at page 135;
 - (iii) Regulation 6 for Certificate examination in Tamil at page 143;
 - (iv) Regulation 6 for Certificate examination in German at page 145;
 - (v) Regulation 7 for Diploma examination in German at page 147;
 - (vi) Regulation 7 for Advanced Diploma course in German at page 149;
 - (vii) Regulation 6 for Certificate examination in Russian at page 151;
 - (viii) Regulation 6 for Certificate course in Translation at page 154;
 - (ix) Regulation 6 for Certificate examination in French at page 157;
 - (x) Regulation 7 for Diploma examination in French at page 159;
 - (xi) Regulation 6 for Certificate course in Sanskrit at page 161;
 - (xii) Regulation 6 for Diploma course in Sanskrit at page 163;
 - (xiii) Regulation 8 for Diploma in Library Science at page 165;
 - (xiv) Regulation 3 for Diploma course in Cartography at page 167;
 - (xv) Regulation 7 for Certificate course in Gandhian Philosophy at page 168;
 - (xvi) Regulation 6 for Certificate examination in Tibetan at page 171;
 - (xvii) Regulation 6 for Certificate examination in Agricultural Marketing at page 201;
 - (xviii) Regulation 10 for Diploma in Physical Education examination at page 283;
- of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

3. Amendment of Regulation 6 for Diploma in Journalism examination at page 342 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

4. Deletion of Regulations for Diploma in Teaching of General Science at pages 407-410 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1964-65

5. Amendment of Regulation 9 for First, Second, Third and Final examinations in B.Sc. Engineering, at pages 318-319, 322, 325, 326 and 329 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

6. Deletion of Transitory Regulation No. 5 for LL.B. examination, at page 349 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

7. Amendment of Regulation 8 for M.D. examination at pages 410-411 and for M.S. examination at pages 417-418 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

8. Amendment of Regulation 4(ii) for 'Diploma in Medical Radiology (D.M.R.) examination' at pages 433-434 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

9. Amendment of Regulation 11 and 12 for B.Sc. Nursing (Post-Basic) examination, at page 465 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

(b) REVISED REGULATIONS

10. Revised regulations for the Degree of Bachelor of Science in Engineering (Chemical).

(ii) (a) AMENDMENTS OF REGULATIONS

1 Amendment of—

- (i) Regulation 2 for 'University Students' at page 132 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966; and
 - (ii) Regulation 4(i) for 'B.Sc. (Honours School) examination' at page 106 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
2. Amendment of Regulation 2(i) and 6(n) for 'Private Candidates' at pages 170 and 173 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
 3. Amendment of Regulation 10(b) for 'Higher Secondary examination' at page 13 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
 4. Amendment of Regulation 13 for 'Higher Secondary examination' at page 21 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1964-65.
 5. Amendment of Regulation 63 for 'B.Sc. Honours, School examination' at page 118 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
 6. Amendment of Regulation 9 for qualifying for 'B.A. degree after passing in Honours in O.T./M.J.L.' at page 132 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
 7. Amendment of Note 1, occurring under Regulation 5 for the 'B.Ed. (Revised Regulations) examination'.

(b) ADDITION OF REGULATIONS

8. Addition of Regulation 6-A for 'Private Candidates' at page 174 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
9. Addition of Regulation 7(A) for 'Pre-University examination' at page 26 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

(iii) (a) AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS

1. Amendment of Regulation 12(c) at page 4 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
2. Amendment of Regulations 1 and 3 for 'Board of Studies' at pages 56-57 and 59 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
3. Amendment of Regulation 3 for 'Academic Council' at page 65 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
4. Amendment of Regulation 3 under the Head 'Affiliated Colleges' at page 140 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
5. Amendment of Regulation 10 for 'Higher Secondary examination' at page 12 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
6. Amendment of Regulation 11 for 'Higher Secondary examination' at page 17 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
7. Amendment of Regulation 11 for 'Higher Secondary examination' at page 17 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
8. Amendment of Regulation 14 for 'Higher Secondary examination' at pages 20-21 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
9. Amendment of Regulation 6 for 'Degree of Master of Commerce examination' at page 207 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.
10. Amendment of Regulation 7 for 'Diploma of Acharya examination in Sanskrit Language and Literature' at page 372 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

(b) ADDITION OF REGULATIONS

11. Addition of a new Regulation 9-A to the regulations for 'Standard Provident Fund Rules for College Teachers' at page 152 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.

12. Addition of Regulation 12-A for 'B. Com' and 6-A for 'M. Com.' examination at pages 204 and 209 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

(c) NEW REGULATIONS

13. Proposed regulations for the 'Elementary examination of Technical Translation in Russian'.
14. Proposed regulations for 'Certificate examination in Office Supervision for Women'.

(iv) (a) AMENDMENTS OF REGULATIONS

1. Amendment of Regulation 3 relating to the award of Scholarships under Section 31(n) of the Panjab University Act at pages 117-119 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
 2. Amendment of Regulation 28(a) of "Agreement form for College Teachers in a Non-Government College" at page 149 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
3. Amendment of—
 - (i) Transitory Regulation 2 for Intermediate examination at page 50;
 - (ii) Regulation 1 and Transitory Regulations 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 for B.A./B.Sc. (2-year course) examination at pages 51 & 61;
 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

4. Amendment of Regulation 19 for B.A./B.Sc. (T.D.C.) examination at page 75 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

5. Amendment of Regulations 3(iii); 4(iii) and 5(iii) for B.Com examinations, at pages 202-203 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

6. Amendment of Regulation 7 for 'LL.B. examination' at page 345 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

7. Amendment of Note 1 relating to 'Ph.D. in the Faculty of Medical Sciences' at page 422 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

(b) NEW REGULATIONS

8. Proposed regulations for Diploma in Nutrition examination.

(v) (a) AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS

1. Amendment of Regulation 5 relating to 'Appointments to Teaching Posts' at pages 76-77 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.

2. Amendment of Regulation 8 relating to 'Appointment to Teaching Posts' at page 77 of the Cal., Vol. I, 1966.

3. Amendment of Regulation 8(B) for Admission to examinations at page 115 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.

4. Amendment of—

- (i) Regulations 4(a) & 10 for Matriculation examination at pages 2 & 8,
 - (ii) Regulation 7 for Higher Secondary examination at page 11,
- of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

5. Amendment of—

- (i) Regulation 6 for Matriculation examination at page 6,
 - (ii) Regulation 6 for Higher Secondary examination at page 10.
- of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

6. Amendment of Regulation 12 for Higher Secondary examination at page 20 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966,

so that there should be no internal assessment for candidates appearing privately in the Higher Secondary examinations.

7. Amendment of Regulation 13 for Higher Secondary examination at page 20 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

8. Amendment of—

1. Regulation 12 for Higher secondary examination at pages 18-19,
2. Regulation 8 for Pre-University examination at page 26,
3. Regulations 10 and 12 for Pre-Medical examination at pages 31-32,
4. Regulation 10 and 12 for Pre-Engineering examination at pages 37-38,
5. Regulations 11, 12 and 13 for B.A./B.Sc. (2-year course) examination at pages 58-60,
6. Regulations 5(C), 6(C), 7(C), 17, 20, 21(a) & (b), 27, 33, 34, 35 and 36 for B.A./B.Sc. (T.D.C.) examination at pages 66, 68, 69, 74, 75-76, 78 and 80-81,
7. Regulations 3, 8, 9 and addition of Transitory Regulation for 'Examination to qualify in English after passing the examination in an Oriental Classical Language or a Modern Indian Language' at pages 131-132,

of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

9. Amendment of Regulations 10 & 11 for M.A. examination at pages 100-102 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

10. Amendment of Regulation 3 for 'Examination to qualify in English after passing the examination in an Oriental Classical Language or a Modern Indian Language' at pages 129-130 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

11. Amendment of Regulation 13, for B.Com. examination at page 204 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

12. Amendment of Regulation 7 for B.Ed. examination at page 272 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

13. Amendment of Regulation 2 for Bachelor of Science in Chemical Engineering at page 295 and Deletion of Regulation 2 for LL.B. examination at page 344 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

14. Amendment of Regulation 9 for B.Sc. Textiles examination at page 308 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

15. Amendment of Regulation 11 for 'LL.M. examination' at page 352 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

16. Amendment of Regulation 9, 16 and 25 for First, Second and Final Professional M.B.B.S. examination at pages 376, 378 and 383, respectively of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

17. Amendment of Regulation 7 for 'D.L.O.' examination at page 399 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

18. Amendment of Regulations 2(d) (ii) for 'M.D.' and 'M.S.' examinations, at pages 405-406 and 413-414 respectively, of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

19. Amendment of Regulation 5 for 'B.Sc. Nursing (Post-Basic)' examination at page 463 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

(b) ADDITION OF REGULATIONS

20. Addition of Regulation 11, relating to 'Admission to examinations' at page 116, of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

21. Addition of Transitory Regulation No. 2 for Higher Secondary examination, at page 21 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

22. Addition of clause (c) to Regulations 12-A and 6-A for B.Com. and M.Com. examinations at pages 204 and 209, respectively, of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

23. Addition of Regulation 14-A to the regulations for 'M.Sc. Engineering examination' at page 336 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

24. Addition of Regulation 12-A to the regulations for 'LL.B. examination' at page 346 of the Calendar, Volume II, 1966.

(c) NEW REGULATIONS

25. Proposed regulations for Bachelor of Journalism examination.

30. That Syndicate decision, dated 7-8-1965 (Para 70) allowing staff of Border Police on the cadre of Punjab and Himachal Pradesh to appear in such examinations as private candidates, in which this permission is granted to military personnel, may take effect from the examinations of 1966.

(Para 82, 27-8-1966)

31. That Hindu College, Sonapat, be granted provisional affiliation in M.A. English for one year in the first instance, subject to fulfilment of the conditions laid down by the Inspection Committee.

(Para 98, 27-8-1966).

32. That the new scheme for the LL.B. degree [3-year course for whole-time students and 4-year course for part-time (evening) student], with effect from the admissions of 1967, be approved.

(Para 104, 27-8-1966).

33. That the following courses be instituted :—

1. M. Sc. in Pharmacology;
2. B. Sc. (Maternal and Child Health Nursing), Post-Basic;
3. (i) B.Sc. degree in Production Engineering;
(ii) Two Year condensed course leading to B.Sc. in Production Engineering.

(Paras 110, 113 and 156, 27-8-1966).

34. That under the programme of assistance from the British Council/U.K. Government to the Centre of Advanced Studies in Geology, Dr. W. J. Philipps, Department of Geology, University College of Wales, Aberystwyth, be appointed as a Visiting Professor at the Centre of Advanced Studies in Geology for a period of 3-4 months from January, 1967, on an honorarium of Rs. 1,500 per mensem.

(Para 126, 27-8-1966).

35. (i) That Dr. B. R. Chauhan, Reader in Law, who was appointed part-time Lecturer in German (5 lectures per week) from 2-8-1965 to 1-8-1966 be paid salary for the summer vacation of 1966.

(ii) That the appointment of Dr. B. R. Chauhan as part-time Lecturer in German be extended from 2-8-1966 for the current academic year on 12 months' basis @ Rs. 200 per month (fixed).

(Para 131, 27-8-1966)

36. That Dr. D. P. Sarin, Instructor, Evening College, Simla, who has been selected as a Lecturer at the Post-Graduate Regional Centre, Simla be paid an allowance of Rs. 200 per month for part-time teaching (10 periods a week) at the Regional Centre, from 11-7-1966 till such time he joins as a lecturer.

(Para 134, 27-8-1966)

37. That until permanent arrangement is made, Principal D. P. Gupta of Government College, Rohtak be paid an allowance of Rs. 150 per month for acting as Director

of the Post-Graduate Regional Centre, with effect from 1-7-1966.

(Para 135, 27-8-1966)

| | Rs. |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Reader @ Rs. 700 per month for 6 months | 4,200-00 |
| 2. Remuneration for 240 lectures @ Rs. 25 per lecture | 6,000-00 |
| 3. T. A. and D. A. to outstation speakers, where necessary | 1,000-00 |
| 4. Office Supervisor @ Rs. 250 per month for 6 months.. .. . (Grade Rs. 250-12½-425). | 1,500-00 |
| 5. Steno-typist @ Rs. 145 per month for 6 months (Grade Rs. 145-7-180-12-300). | 870-00 |
| 6. Contingencies for six months | 700-00 |
| TOTAL | 14,270-00 |

(Para 136, 27-8-1966).

39. That the following be granted extension in service : -

1. Dr. S. D. Bhanot, Reader in Hindi For one year, under Regulation 54(c) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.
2. Shri R. S. Mehta, Lecturer in History, Evening College, Chandigarh. For one year more, under Regulation 54(c) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.
3. Shri Jagan Nath Aggarwal, Reader in Sanskrit, V. I. S. & I. S., Hoshiarpur. For one year more, i.e., from 1-1-1967 to 31-12-1967, under Regulation 54(c) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.
4. Shri Mulk Raj, Assistant Registrar For two years, under Regulation 54(a) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.
5. Prof. H. P. Dwivedi For three years, under Regulation 54(c) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.
6. Prof. P. N. Mehra, Head of the Botany Department For three years, under Regulation 54(c) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.
7. Prof. K. S. Narang, Professor of Organic Chemistry For two years, under Regulation 54(c) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.
8. Dr. G. L. Arora, Reader in Zoology Up to 31-7-1967, under Regulation 54(c) at page 100 of the Calendar, Volume I.

(Para 137, 27-8-1966, Paras 32, 33, 99, 100 and 101, 21-10-1966 and Paras 24 and 25, 19-11-1966).

40. (i) That the following persons be recommended to the U.G.C. as nominees of this University for training in U.S.S.R. for a period of 6 months on the condition that they will undertake to serve the University for 3 years after completion of training :—

1. Shri R. S. Chaudhry, Research Associate, Geology Department.
2. Shri R. K. Kakar, Lecturer in Geology.

(ii) That they be treated on duty during the period of training;

(iii) That expenditure on account of local costs, e.g., salary, Railway fare from Chandigarh to the port of embarkation, Passport fee, etc., and Medical fee, if any, for the medical examination of the persons concerned be borne by the University.

(Para 138, 27-8-1966)

41. That the articles (details given in the Appendix of the Syndicate minutes dated 27-8-1966) which had become unserviceable be written off.

(Para 144, 27-8-1966)

42. That Dr. P. L. Mehra, Reader in History be permitted for a period of six months, to go to Delhi and to stay there for three days (Friday, Saturday and Sunday) every week in connection with the research work on the project "A Study of the North-east frontier of India with China" at the National Archives, and that he be treated on duty for such absence from the Department.

(Para 162, 27-8-1966)

38. That pending receipt of grant from the University Grants Commission, the following expenditure on the newly started Certificate Course in Office Supervision for Women, be sanctioned for the current year :

43. That a Research Fellowship at Rs. 400 P.M. be created for one year for the Department of History in the Research Project connected with the Tercentenary Celebration of Guru Gobind Singh.

(Para 163, 27-8-1966)

44. That a sum of Rs. 3,000 be re-appropriated from the budget Head "Water & Electricity" of the Women's Hostel No. 1 to major Head "Establishment" minor Head "Contingencies" of the Hostel Account, for the year 1966-67.

(Para 167, 27-8-1966)

VII. The Registrar stated that item No. 45 of the agenda (reproduced below) may be considered as deleted as the Govt. College of Fine Arts, Chamba, had not started M.A. (Music) classes this year :—

"45. That Government College of Fine Arts, Chamba, be granted provisional affiliation for M.A. in Music (Vocal and Instrumental) with effect from the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down".

(Para 173, 27-8-1966)

RESOLVED : That the above item be considered as deleted.

VIII. Item Nos. 46 to 62 of the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e.,—

46 That the following employees of the University be allowed to cross the efficiency bar in their pay-scales :—

| Particulars of the Employee | Pay-scale |
|--|---------------------------|
| 1. Shri A. N. Sharma, Office Superintendent | Rs. 350-20-450-EB-25-700. |
| 2. Dr. M. N. Saxena, Lecturer in Geology | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800. |
| 3. Dr. K. L. Khanna, Lecturer in Pharmacognosy, Department of Pharmacy | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800. |

(Para 175, 27-8-1966, Para 15, 17-9-1966 and Para 28, 19-11-1966)

47. That the following officiating appointments in the vacancy caused by the retirement of Shri Bodh Raj Maihotra, Deputy Registrar, be approved :

- (i) Shri K. R. Sachdeva, Assistant Registrar as officiating Deputy Registrar, with effect from 20-8-1966 (F.N.)

(ii) Bawa Harkishan Singh, Senior Superintendent, as officiating Assistant Registrar, *vice* (i) with effect from 20-8-1966 (F.N.)

(iii) Shri Amar Singh, Assistant, as officiating office Superintendent, *vice* (ii) from the date he started work.

(Para 176, 27-8-1966)

48. That the appointments of the following persons be cancelled :—

1. Dr. K. K. Gupta, as Professor of Theoretical Physics (Declined)
2. Shri Vijay Kumar Khanna, as Instructor in Economics Evening College, Simla (did not join)
3. Kumari Purna Iyer, as Curator in the Department of Ancient Indian History & Culture (declined)
4. Dr. J. K. Ghosh, as Reader in Applied Mathematics in the Centre of Advanced Study in Mathematics (declined)
5. Dr. Surinder Nath Mahajan, as Lecturer in Chemistry in the Department of Chemical Engineering & Technology (declined)
6. Dr. H. S. Hans, as Professor of Experimental Physics (not in a position at present to accept the offer).

(Para 1, 17-9-1966 and Para 1, 21-10-1966).

49. Under Regulation 1 at page 122 of the Calendar, Volume I, the following be approved :—

- (i) names of all persons, who have become qualified for the various degrees of the University, after passing examinations, the results of which were declared from 18-12-1965 to 31-10-1966;
- (ii) names of all candidates who have become qualified for the various degrees after passing the examinations held in 1965, the results of which were declared after the annual University Convocation held in December, 1965.

(Para 1, 17-9-1966 and Para 1, 19-11-1966)

50 (i) That the University agree to the setting up of an Indian Universities Publishing Association in accordance with the communication received from the University Grants Commission.

- (ii) That the Panjab University should become a member of the proposed Association for which the following expenditure be sanctioned :—

- (a) Admission fee of Rs. 5,000
- (b) Annual subscription fee of Rs. 500

(Para 5, 17-9-1966)

51. That the following persons be appointed as Office Superintendents in the grade of Rs. 350.20-450-EB-25-700 on one years probation :

1. Shri Om Parkash Chopra, B.A., LL.B.
2. Shri Swaran Singh Sohal, B.A.

(Para 7, 17-9-1966)

52. (i) That the designation of the Chair to which Prof. H. P. Dwivedi had been appointed should continue to be called Tagore Professor of Indian Literature

(ii) That Prof. H. P. Dwivedi may continue to be attached to the University Hindi Department for such Literary work as he may be able to undertake, but he shall not be expected to do any routine work; financial and other powers of the Head of the Hindi Department should be exercised by Prof. I.N. Madan.

(iii) That Prof. H. P. Dwivedi shall complete the course of lectures on Medieval Sensibility, some of which he has already delivered and that these lectures be published by the University in due course.

(iv) (a) That necessary provision and facilities be provided for conducting research in Budhistic, Trantric, Prakrit and Apbhranah Literatures. The University grants

Commission be approached to sanction the posts of two Junior Fellows and two Research Scholars who will work under the guidance of Prof. Dwivedi. It was noted that a vast literature is available on these subjects and ample scope exists for exploring them.

(b) That editing and publication of manuscripts of Hindi Literature written in Gurmukhi Script should be undertaken under the guidance of the Tagore Professor of Indian Literature; proposals for the staff and equipment required for this project be considered on receipt of Prof. H. P. Dwivedi's proposals, and the University Grants Commission be approached for financial assistance. The Committee felt a vast literature existed on this subject.

(v) That Prof. H. P. Dwivedi will continue to guide research already undertaken by him.

(vi) That other series of lectures besides the present one shall be organised by the chair for 1966-67 and subsequent years; these lectures also shall be published by the University in due course.

(vii) That the following provisions for the Tagore Professor be sanctioned :—

- (a) One English Typewriter, one Hindi Typewriter and one Panjabi Typewriter
- (b) Two almirahs, four writing tables and twelve chairs;
- (c) 1,000 for purchase of books and Rs. 250 for stationery, contingency, etc.
- (d) One peon;
- (e) Reading Room of the Hindi Department may be properly fitted for the Tagore Professor and his staff.

(Para 8, 17-9-1966)

53. That a Department of Adult Education be instituted.

(Para 40, 17-9-1966)

54. That failed students appearing in B.Com. Part I Examination 1967 as late college students be allowed to appear according to the syllabus prescribed for 1966 examination.

(Para 40, 17-9-1966)

55. That as the syllabus of Agricultural Group of the Higher Secondary course had been revised, candidates who failed in this group in 1966 or earlier be allowed to appear in the old syllabus for two years, i.e., 1967 and 1968,

(Para 53, 17-9-1966)

56. That decision of the Senate, in circulation, for assignment of the following persons to the Faculties noted against their names, be confirmed :

1. Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mehar Singh, Chief Justice, Punjab High Court (*ex-officio*)—Arts, Law and Dairying & Animal Husbandry.
2. Prof. Abdul Majid Khan (nominated in place of Mr. K. G. Saiyadain)—Arts Languages, Education and Design and Fine Arts.
3. Shri K. L. Sharma, M.L.C. (elected by Legislative Council in place of Shri Daya Krishan)—Arts, Law, Education and Engineering and Technology.

57. That Shri Madan Gopal, Lecturer in Political Science Post-Graduate Regional Centre, Rohtak, who is also M.A. in English (IInd class) be allowed to teach temporarily (six periods a week) History of Literature to the students of M.A. English class and he be paid an allowance of Rs. 100 per mensem with effect from the date he started this additional work.

(Para 59, 17-9-1966)

58. (i) That Dr. H. Gupta, Professor and Head of the Department of Mathematics, be retired from University service with effect from the date he left the University for U.S.A.

(ii) That Prof. T. P. Srinivasan be appointed officiating Head of the Mathematics Department and officiating Director of the Advanced Centre of Mathematics Studies.

(Para 61, 17-9-1966)

59. That Khalsa College, Amritsar, be granted extension of affiliation for M.Sc. (Agriculture) in (i) Agricultural Economics, (ii) Agronomy and (iii) Horticulture, on the conditions laid down.

(Para 77, 17-9-1966)

60. That Government College, Ludhiana, be permitted to start evening classes for the Pre-University (Humanities Group) and 3-year B.A. courses, with effect from the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down.

(Para 78, 17-9-1966)

61. That the following persons be appointed under the N.B.S. Scheme entitled "Physical and Chemical Studies in non-aqueous solvents" attached to the Chemistry Department

1. Research Officer (Grade Rs. 600-40-1,000), Dr. S. P. Narula @ 680 per mensem.

Note.—Dr. Narula is a permanent lecturer in the Department of Chemistry and has just returned after completing three years of leave at Manchester where he has obtained another Ph.D. degree. As he is at present under bond to serve the department for at least three years, his appointment be taken as service in the department and he be allowed to contribute to the Provident Fund, etc., as in the case of his predecessor Dr. B. R. Sreenathan; the University contribution towards Provident Fund to be met out of the grants of the scheme.

2. Senior Research Fellow (Rs. 500 fixed) Shri Subhash C. Ahluwalia.

(Para 87, 17-9-1966)

62. That Shri Baldev Vig, Temporary Lecturer in Chemistry, be allowed to continue in the leave vacancy of Dr. Inder Raj Trehan, up to 31-8-1967.

(Para 88, 17-9-1966)

IX. Item No. 63 of the agenda was read out, viz.—

63. That out of the Holiday Home Fund, a sum of Rs. 5,000 be sanctioned for furnishing the Staff Club.

(Para 90, 17-9-1966)

Principal O. P. Dogra said that the Holiday Home Fund should be spent only on common facilities meant for all the teachers. There was another item, he said suggesting transference of Rs. 50,000 from the Holiday Home Fund for a swimming pool. He wanted to have a clarification whether teachers of affiliated colleges shall also benefit from the staff club.

The Vice-Chancellor replied that the University had contributed half the amount of the Holiday Home Fund and the other half had been contributed by the teachers. The staff club was a part of the teachers' Home, which was being used by all the teachers.

RESOLVED : That the recommendation of the Syndicate be approved.

X. Item Nos. 64 to 79 of the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e.—

64. That the provision of Rs. 7,200 under the head "Research Fellow-2" in the budget estimates of the Economics Department for the year 1966-67 be converted to a new head "Research & Extension Lectures, etc., to Honours School, and M.A. classes in Economics".

(Para 91, 17-9-1966)

65. This is for the information of the Senate—

(i) that the Chancellor has fixed December 21, 1966, for the next annual Convocation (instead of 17th December);

(ii) that Nawab Ali Yavar Jung, Vice-Chancellor, Muslim University, Aligarh, will deliver the Convocation Address at this University on December 21, 1966.

(Para 1, 19-11-1966 and Para 1, 21-10-1966)

66. That the resignation of Shri R. L. Mittal, Lecturer in Library Science, be accepted, with effect from 13-10-1966 and he be paid three months' salary in lieu of the privilege leave applied for by him.

(Para 1, 21-10-1966)

67. That Shri Maithili Pershad, Lecturer in Hindi, Post-Graduate Regional Centre, Rohtak, be allowed to do part-time teaching at the Evening College, Rohtak, on an additional allowance of Rs. 200 per mensem (fixed) with effect from the date he started work till Dr. Hem Raj Nirmum joins as Instructor.

(Para 22, 21-10-1966)

68. That Shri Amarjit Mahajan, Research Assistant, Sociology Department, be allowed to continue to work as temporary Lecturer, *vice* Dr. M. L. Sharma (resigned), till the post is filled up after selection.

(Para 25, 21-10-1966)

69. That Dr. Chetan Sarup Chopra who has been appointed Lecturer in Chemistry in the Department of Chemical Engineering & Technology, be given a starting salary of Rs. 580 per mensem in the pay scale of Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800.

(Para 28, 21-10-1966)

70. That Dr. J. S. Luther, selected as Professor of Mathematics (*vide* Para 12, Syndicate minutes dated 23-7-1966) and posted in the leave arrangement of Dr. R. P. Bambah, may now be posted to the permanent post vacated by Dr. H. Gupta, Professor of Mathematics (retired) with effect from 10th September, 1966.

(Para 29, 21-10-1966)

71. That Prof. T. P. Srinivasan, Professor of Mathematics, be given a salary of Rs. 1,350 per mensem with effect from the date he took over as Acting Director of the Advanced Centre of Mathematics Studies.

(Para 30, 21-10-1966)

72. That the request of Shri S. N. Sehgal, Secretary to the Vice-Chancellor, for grant of four months' privilege leave from 21-10-1966, preparatory to retirement, be refused and he be allowed to avail of this leave after retirement.

(Para 34, 21-10-1966)

73. (i) That as requested by Dr. S. P. Narula, Lecturer in Chemistry, leave without pay sanctioned to him from 11-8-1966 to 26-9-1966, be cancelled.

(ii) That the appointment of Shri Ram Singh as temporary Lecturer, *vice* Dr. S. P. Narula be cancelled with effect from 11-8-1966.

(Para 36, 21-10-1966)

74. (i) That Miss Jaiinder Bhullar, Instructor, physical Education Department, who has been selected by the Guide Association, Punjab, for Ranger Leaders Preliminary Camp, be allowed to attend this Camp at Ahmedabad, and she be treated on duty from 18-10-1966 to 31-10-1966; and

(ii) That 50% of the expenses on T.A., D.A., Camp fee, Board and lodging for Miss Bhullar's participation in the Camp be met by the University out of the budget head "Subsidy for attending Educational Conferences".

(Para 40, 21-10-1966)

75. That the offer received from the General Manager, Bank of India Ltd., Bombay, for donation of Rs. 3,400 in the form of 9 years' Fixed Deposit Receipt yielding an interest @ Rs. 7½% per annum for instituting a cash prize of Rs. 250/- to be called "The Bank of India Ltd. prize" for the student getting the highest number of marks at the annual Final B.Com. examination with Banking as main subject, and passing in the first attempt, be accepted with thanks.

(Para 45, 21-10-1966)

76. That Shri D. L. Datta, who has been appointed Instructor in History at the Evening College, Chandigarh, be given a starting salary of Rs. 500 per mensem in the pay scale of Rs. 350-20-450-25-600.

(Para 97, 21-10-1966)

77. That the following members of the teaching staff of the Department of Commerce and Business Management be deputed for training at the Institute of Management Ahmedabad, under the programme of utilization of Hindustan Lever Grant for Faculty Development in Case Research and Business Administration in collaboration with Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, and they be treated on duty during the period noted against each :

1. Prof. T. N. Kapur (as Visiting Professor to observe the programme)—8-12-1966 to 24-12-1966.
2. Dr. S. D. Tripathi, Lecturer—25-10-1966 to 9-1-1967.
3. Dr. B. N. Mehrotra, Lecturer—15-1-1967 to 9-4-1967

(Para 102, 21-10-1966)

78. That as Gobind National College Narangwal, had complied with the conditions to a great extent, provisional affiliation for the Pre-University and 3-Year B.A. Part I courses already granted to the college, be confirmed

(Para 114, 21-10-1966)

79. That Shri Yagya Datt, Assistant, be appointed as officiating Office Superintendent, *vice* Shri Amar Singh,

officiating Office Superintendent, in the arrangement against the vacant post of Deputy Registrar with effect from 8-10-1966 till further orders.

(Para 118, 21-10-1966)

X7 Item No. 80 of the agenda was read out, *viz*—

80. That the following appointments for the study Groups in Chemistry and Biology set up at this University by the National Council of Research and Training, be approved :

Study Group in Chemistry

- (i) Prof. R. C. Paul, Head of the Study Group—@ Rs. 2,000 per year (Honorarium) payable after the expiry of his term as D.U.I.
- (ii) Shri Ashok Kumar, Lecturer in Chemistry—@ Rs. 700 per mensem (whole-time).

Note.—The services of Shri Ashok Kumar who is a whole-time employee of the University are placed at the disposal of the Study Group and he will be treated on duty. The University share of Provident Fund contribution will be met out of the grant of Study Group.

- (iii) Prof. B. R. Puri, Prof. K. S. Narang, Dr. M. L. Lakhanpal, Dr. Gurdev Singh—@ Rs. 200 per mensem each for part-time work.

Study Group in Biology

- (i) Shri R. R. Vasishth, Lecturer in Botany, Vaish College, Rohtak—@ Rs. 740 per mensem in the Reader's grade.
- (ii) Shri R. S. Chopra, M.Sc., Reader in Botany—@ Rs. 200 per mensem for part-time work.

(Para 119, 21-10-1966)

Principal O. P. Dogra asked whether the appointments included in this item were advertised and whether properly constituted committees had interviewed the applicants. Prof. R. C. Paul replied that the posts had not been advertised because they were of temporary nature.

RESOLVED : That the recommendations of the Syndicate be approved.

XII. Item Nos. 81 to 91 of the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, *i.e.*—

81. That a grant of Rs. 250 be sanctioned for the Book Festival Organised in Ambala Cantt., in June, 1966.

(Para 40, 28-6-1966)

82. That the decision of Senate (dated 30-7-1966) for forfeiture of salary of Dewan Mohinder Nath Nair, Curator, Botany Department, in lieu of notice period (resignation) be reconsidered and the requirement of notice be waived.

(Para 1, 19-11-1966)

83. That : (i) Notification No. F. 17/79/66-SR(i), dated November 1, 1966, in regard to the Panjab University Act, 1947; and

(ii) Notification No. F. 17/79/66-SR(ii), dated November 1, 1966, in regard to appointment of the Chancellor,

issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, be noted.

(Para 2, 19-11-1966)

84. That Sarvashri Gopal Krishan, M.A. (1st class 1st) and Romesh Chander Chandra, M.A. (IInd class), be appointed temporary Lecturers in the Department of Geography in the pay-scale of Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 with effect from 5-10-1966 till the posts are filled up after selection.

(Para 18, 19-11-1966)

85. That Miss E. Janger, Mineralogical & Geological Institute, University of Bern (Switzerland), be appointed as a Visiting Professor at the Centre of Advanced Study in Geology for a period of about three months from the end of 1967 on the honorarium of Rs. 2,000 per mensem plus income tax and transportation charges from place of residence to Chandigarh and back (about Rs. 5,400).
(Para 20, 19-11-1966)

86. That two posts of part-time Instructor in Hindi at Evening College, Simla, be converted into one post of whole-time Instructor in Hindi in the grade of Rs. 350-20-450-25-600.
(Para 22, 19-11-1966)

87. That Shri V. J. Isa Dass, who has been appointed Reader in English at the Post-Graduate Regional Centre, Rohtak, be given a starting salary of Rs. 820 per mensem in the grade of Rs. 700-40-980-EB-40-1,100.
(Para 23, 19-11-1966)

88. That Shri Gian Chand Gupta, Office Superintendent, who retired on 15-11-1966, be—

(i) paid gratuity due to him under Regulation 52 at page 99 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966 for efficient and faithful service; and

(ii) granted six months furlough, under Regulation 18(A)(e) at pages 89-90 of the Calendar, Volume I, 1966.
(Paras 29 and 30, 19-11-1966)

89. That Prof. Prem Nath, Head of the Philosophy Department be—

(i) allowed to go to Cairo to join the Seven Seas Division of Chapman College for their short lecture programme from December 1 to December 12, 1966, as a representative of the University; and

(ii) treated on duty from 19-11-1966 to 14-12-1966.
(Para 31, 19-11-1966)

90. That Dr. T. R. Juneja, Lecturer, Pharmacy Department be granted two special increments for obtaining Ph.D. degree.
(Para 34, 19-11-1966)

91. That a grant of Rs. 2,000 be sanctioned for the VIII PEN All Indian Writers' Conference to be held at Chandigarh from December 23 to December 26, 1966 for which the Panjab University is playing the host.
(Para 37, 19-11-1966)

XIII. Item No. 92 of the agenda was read out, viz,—

92. (i) That the following posts be created and provision therefore sanctioned under the heads referred to below :—

I. General Administration

One post of Statistician (grade Rs. 300-15-420-20-500).

II. Evening College, Chandigarh

*One post of part-time Instructor in English @ Rs. 200 p.m. fixed up to 31-3-1967.

III. Evening College, Rohtak.

*(a) One post of part-time Instructor in Punjabi @ Rs. 200 p.m. fixed.

*(b) One post of part-time Instructor in English @ Rs. 200 p.m. fixed.

*(c) One post of part-time Instructor in public Administration @ Rs. 200 p.m. fixed.

IV. Department of Biochemistry.

One post of Chowkidar (grade Rs. 70-1-100).

V. Department of Botany (Botanical Garden).

*Five posts of Malis (grade Rs. 70-1-100).

VI. Department of Fine Arts.

One post of Research Scholar @ Rs. 250 p.m. fixed.

VII. Arts Block No. 1

One post of Chowkidar (grade Rs. 70-1-100).

VIII. Department of Publication Bureau.

(i) One post of Assistant (grade Rs. 250-12½-425).

(ii) One post of Sales Clerk (grade Rs. 120-5-145-7-180-12-300).

(iii) Provision for the post of Packer (grade Rs. 70-1-100).

(ii) That the following temporary posts be created for the University office to cope with the increase in work load because of abnormal increase in number of candidates etc., all round :—

Examination Branches :

(a) For Internal Assessment

| | |
|----------------|----|
| Superintendent | 1 |
| Assistants | 2 |
| Clerks | 12 |
| Record Lifter | 1 |
| Daftri | 1 |
| Peon | 1 |

(b) For other work

| | |
|-----------------|----|
| Superintendents | 3 |
| Assistants | 10 |
| Clerks | 45 |
| Record Lifter | 1 |
| Daftris | 2 |
| Peons | 3 |
| Waterman | 1 |

*The Registrar be authorised to make adjustments of the posts of Superintendents and Assistants.

(c) For Secrecy, Conduct and General Branches

| | |
|-----------------|----|
| Clerks | 15 |
| Accounts Branch | |
| Assistants | 2 |
| Clerks | 15 |
| Record Lifter | 1 |
| Daftri | 1 |
| Peon | 1 |

(iii) That the post of part-time Library Attendant in the Panjab University Evening College, Jullundur, be converted to that of a whole-time Library Attendant in the grade of Rs. 70-1-100.

(iv) That the post of Carpenter (grade Rs. 120-5-200) held by Shri Kirpa Ram be converted to that of Work Inspector (grade Rs. 145-7-180-12-300) and the salary of Shri Kirpa Ram be fixed at Rs. 204 P.M. in this Grade.

(v) That the grade of Laboratory Supervisors be revised from Rs. 300-12-420 to Rs. 300-15-420-20-500. with effect from 1-4-1966 and that for future recruits the minimum qualifications be B.Sc. or B.A. with Science.

(vi) That the grade of Glass Blowers be revised from Rs. 145-7-180-12-300 to Rs. 145-7-180-12-300-EB-15-420 with effect from 1-4-1966.

(vii) That the grade of Principal P. L. Anand, Evening College, Chandigarh, be revised to Rs. 1,000-50-1,500, (Professor's scale) with effect from 1-7-1966.

*The creation of posts be given effect from the dates the appointments were made under orders of the Vice-Chancellor.

(viii) (a) That full medical assistance be allowed to all the University employees and their *families (*"family" as defined in Government rules).

(1) At Chandigarh, besides the facilities available at University Health Centre, medical assistance will be allowed for specialised treatment and hospitalisation for cases recommended by the University Medical Officer.

(2) In case of mofussil towns the hospitalisation and specialised treatment will be allowed in accordance with the rules approved by the Government.

(3) In case of maternity and delivery cases the practice approved by the Government from time to time will be followed by the University.

(b) That confirmed employees permitted to join the University Evening Classes be exempted from payment of tuition fees with effect from the session of 1966-67, provided that in case of individuals who fail in examination for which they are permitted or do not take proper interest in the office work, the concession will be withdrawn.

(c) That the University employees stationed at Chandigarh and drawing pay up to Rs. 370 P.M. be allowed Chandigarh Compensatory allowance at 6½% of pay with marginal adjustments up to Rs. 393/12 with effect from 1st November, 1966.

(ix) That on the basis of additional dearness allowance sanctioned with the Punjab Government, the employees getting pay up to Rs. 1000, be sanctioned additional dearness allowance as under with effect from 1-4-1966.

(i) Employees with basic pay between Rs. 301 P.M. or above but up to Rs. 500 P.M.—Rs. 15 P.M.

(ii) Employees with basic pay between Rs. 501 P.M. or above but up to Rs. 1,000 P.M.—Rs. 20 P.M. (with marginal adjustments up to Rs. 1,020).

(x) (a) That the revised scales recommended by the Kothari Education Commission and approved by the Government of India be given to the University teachers with effect from 1-4-1966 subject to the Government sanctioning 20% of the additional expenditure during the first five years and cent per cent additional expenditure after the plan period for which it was resolved that the Central Government be approached through the Chancellor to provide funds for the purpose either on their own or get the necessary funds from the respective States to enable the University to implement the recommendations.

(b) That in view of the fact that the University was located in Union Territory and was attached to the Centre as also the tremendous rise in cost of living index since 1961 in which year the dearness allowance was merged in the salaries of teachers, the Central Government be requested to sanction Dearness Allowance for the employees of the Panjab University with effect from 1-4-1966 on the same rates as obtained in the Central Universities, and provide funds for the purpose.

(c) That the revised pay scales as given to Professors, Readers, Lecturers be also given to the administrative staff holding identical

scales with effect from the date the recommendations in (i) above are implemented.

(xi) That if and when dearness allowance at Central Government rates is sanctioned to the University employees, the dearness and Chandigarh allowances already granted to the employees will cease and necessary adjustments made.

(xii) That the replies given by the office to audit and inspection Report by the Examiner, Local Fund Accounts, Punjab, Chandigarh, for the period 4/1964 to 3/1965 be approved.

(xiii) That the replies given by the Panjab University Sports Committee to the Audit and inspection Note on the Accounts of the Panjab University Sports Committee, Chandigarh, for the period 1965-66, be approved under Regulation 8, page 71, Panjab University Calendar, Volume 1, 1966.

(xiv) That the replies given by the Panjab University Women's Sports Committee to the Audit and Inspection Note on the Accounts of Panjab University Women's Sports Committee, Chandigarh, for the period 1965-66, be approved under Regulation 14, Page 75, Panjab University Calendar, Volume 1, 1966.

(xv) That audited financial statements of Current, Provident Fund, Bonus Fund, Compassionate Relief Fund, Special Endowment Trust Fund, Teachers Holiday Homes Fund, Youth Welfare Fund, L. Lalpat Rai Chair of Political Science Fund, Tagore Professorship Fund and Building Fund, Accounts of the Panjab University for the year ending 31-3-1966 (1-4-1965 to 31-3-1966), be approved.

(Para 39, 19-11-1966)

Referring to the recommendation in (ii), Shri S. L. Dhall said that according to the approved formula, there should be one more Superintendent, two more Assistants and an Assistant Registrar. The Vice-Chancellor replied that the Board of Finance had sorted out the requirements and provision had been recommended for a lesser number. However, the point raised by Shri S. L. Dhall would be looked into.

Shri S. L. Dhall referred to the recommendation in (v) and said that the corresponding grades of Assistants should also be similarly revised. The Vice-Chancellor replied that the point would be examined by the Board of Finance.

In regard to (vi), Prof. R. N. Safaya suggested that the pay-scale recommended for Principal P. L. Anand of the Evening College, Chandigarh, should apply to all Evening Colleges. The Vice-Chancellor replied that only the Evening College at Chandigarh had been upgraded by addition of post-graduate classes. When other Evening Colleges are similarly upgraded, their cases would also be considered.

Dr. Kundan Lal Jaura suggested that the recommendation in (viii) (b) regarding exemption from payment of tuition fees in the University evening classes should apply to all classes, i.e., day classes also. The Vice-Chancellor replied that Dr. Jaura was a member of the Board of Finance which had made the recommendation. However, the point will be duly examined and placed before the Board of Finance.

Shri S. L. Dhall referred to the recommendation in (x) (a) and asked whether this included pay-scales of Instructors also. The Vice-Chancellor replied that this point would have to be clarified. The recommendation of the Education Commission did not mention Instructors. But they mentioned Junior Lecturers and Senior Lecturers and had also suggested that a certain percentage should be given Senior Lecturer's grade. In the light of this, the position would have to be reviewed in order to see whether the designation of Junior Lecturer should

be given to our Instructors. A recommendation in this regard, however, will be made in due course.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Syndicate in (i) to (xv) be approved with the addition of the word 'University' in (vii.) (b) before the word 'confirmed' in first line.

| Sr. No. | Name of the book | Balance No. of copies | Price per copy | Gross value |
|---------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | Rs. P. | Rs. P. |
| 1. | Chonvin Ekangi | 924 | 1.75 | 1617.00 |
| 2. | Chonvin Kahanian | 951 | 1.75 | 1664.25 |
| 3. | Soch Vichar | 9.2 | 2.00 | 1804.00 |

(Para 44, 19-11-1966)

94. That University employees stationed at places other than Chandigarh and living in their own houses be paid house rent allowance on the terms and rates approved by the Punjab Government for its own employees, in their notification No. 956-FCW-65/1548, dated 20th February, 1965.

(Para 54, 19-11-1966)

95. That the post of an Instructor be created for the special preparatory class in English for scholars from foreign countries studying at Chandigarh.

(Para 58, 19-11-1966)

96. (i) That in addition to the Dean of University Instruction, the University should have a Dean of Student Welfare of the status of the Dean of University Instruction and his term be of one year at a time renewable at the discretion of Syndicate.

(ii) That the honorarium for the Dean of Student Welfare shall be the same as the Dean of University Instruction.

(iii) That when the Dean of Student Welfare is appointed, the office of Chief Warden may be abolished.

(iv) That the following staff be sanctioned for the office of Dean of Student Welfare :

| | |
|------------------|------|
| (a) Stenographer | .. 1 |
| (b) Clerk | .. 1 |

XIV. Item Nos. 93 to 103 of the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e.,—

93. That the following stock of books of Panjabi (Persian Script) published by the Bureau in 1954 which are unsaleable now as the examinations have been discontinued, be written off, and sold as waste paper :

(c) Peon .. 1

(d) Staff for guidance and counselling of students.

(Para 60, 19-11-1966)

97. That the Panjab University as far as possible may concentrate their attention to faculties other than Agriculture and discourage the establishment of new colleges in accordance with the recommendations of the Education Commission.

(Para 65, 19-11-1966)

98. That failed students of the Pre-University (Agriculture Group) examination of 1966 or earlier be allowed to appear according to old syllabus for a period of two years, i.e. 1967 and 1968.

(Para 73, 19-11-1966)

99. (i) That Dr. Rattan Singh, Lecturer, in Biochemistry, be granted extension of leave without pay up to 24-10-1967.

(ii) That Shrimati Hariveen K. Dhillon be allowed to continue as Lecturer, vice Dr. Rattan Singh, up to 24-10-1967.

(Para 100, 19-11-1966)

100. That decision of the Senate, in circulation, for assignment of the following persons to the Faculties noted against their names be confirmed :

1. Shri Gurmuk Singh Musafir
Chief Minister, Punjab,
Chandigarh. (ex-officio).
2. Shri Bhagwat Dyal Sharma,
Chief Minister & Education
Minister, Haryana,
Chandigarh. (ex-officio).
3. Dr. Y. S. Parmar,
Chief Minister & Education
Minister, Himachal Pradesh,
Simla. (ex-officio).
4. Shri Prem Singh Prem,
Education Minister, Punjab,
Chandigarh. (ex-officio).
5. Dr. M. S. Randhawa, D. Sc.,
Administrator of the Union
Territory of Chandigarh,
Chandigarh. (ex-officio).

1. Languages.
2. Arts.
3. Education.

1. Languages.
2. Arts.
3. Education.
4. Dairying & Animal Husbandry.

1. Arts.
2. Law.
3. Education.
4. Agriculture.

1. Languages.
2. Law.
3. Engineering & Tech.
4. Education.

1. Arts.
2. Science & Maths.
3. Agriculture.
4. Design & Fine Arts.

6. Prof. Balwant Singh, M. A.
Director of Public Instruction
Punjab, Chandigarh. (*ex-officio*).

7. Shri D. I. Lall, M. A. (London),
Joint Director of Public
Instruction, Haryana,
Chandigarh.

8. Prof. Gurdev Singh.
Head of the Geography Dept.,
Panjab University,
Chandigarh.

9. Dr. Goverdhan Lal Bakshi, D. Sc.,
Principal, Govt. College,
Chandigarh.

10. Shri Harbhajan Singh, M.A.,
Head of the English Deptt.,
Lyallpur Khalsa College,
Jullundur.

11. Shri S. L. Dhall, M.A., M.O.L.,
Head of the Geography Deptt.,
Arya College,
Ludhiana.

1. Arts.
2. Science & Maths.
3. Education
4. Design & Fine
Arts.

1. Arts.
2. Science & Maths.
3. Education
4. Design & Fine
Arts.

1. Science & Maths.
2. Languages
3. Commerce
4. Dairying &
Animal
Husbandry.

1. Arts.
2. Science & Maths.
3. Engg. & Tech.
4. Education.

1. Languages.
2. Arts.
3. Education
4. Design & Fine
Arts.

1. Arts.
2. Science & Maths.
3. Commerce
4. Design & Fine
Arts.

101. That the appointments of the following persons be cancelled as they did not join :—

1. Shri Ganga Prasad Uniyal,
Lecturer in Hindi.
2. Shri K. D. Gupta,
Assistant Architect.

(Para 1, 8-12-1966)

102. That the resignation of Miss Mukta Mukerjee, Instructor in English, Evening College, Jullundur, be accepted with effect from 1-11-1966 on the condition that she will forfeit one month's salary in lieu of notice period.

(Para 1, 8-12-1966)

103. That under Regulation 1 at page 122 of the Calendar, Vol. I, the names of all persons who qualify for the various degrees of the University after passing the examinations, the results of which (i) were declared

from 1-11-1966 to 7-12-1966, and (ii) will be declared from 8-12-1966 to 20-12-1966, be approved.

(Para 1, 8-12-1966)

XV. Item No. 104 of the agenda was read out, viz.,—

104. That S. Jagjit Singh be allowed to change one of his Faculties, from Education to Agriculture.

(Para 4, 8-12-1966)

Shri Jagjit Singh pointed out that his request was to change his Faculty of Agriculture to Faculty of Education.

RESOLVED: That Shri Jagjit Singh be allowed to change from Faculty of Agriculture to Faculty of Education.

XVI. Item Nos. 105 to 115 of the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e.,—

105. That the following persons be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty noted against their names :—

| Sr. No. | Name | Faculty |
|---------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1. | Ram Nath Sahni | Science & Mathematics. |
| 2. | Ravi Dutt Narda | Science & Mathematics. |
| 3. | Kailash Chander Sharma | Languages. |
| 4. | Vishwa Jit Gupta | Science & Mathematics. |
| 5. | Narainder Mohan Sharma | Languages. |

(Paras 3, 71, 73, 74 and 75, 8-12-1966)

106. That appointment of the following persons in the posts, and pay-scales etc. noted against their names, be approved :—

| Person recommended for appointment | Post | Pay-scale | Salary per month | Remarks |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Dr. H. R. Jindal | Lecturer in Industrial Chemistry. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800. | Rs 720 | Provided he gives an undertaking to serve the University for at least 3 years. |
| 2. Shri Ajaib Singh | Lecturer in Micro-biology. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800. | Rs. 400 | |
| 3. In order of merit. | | | | |
| (a) Shri Dipak Chandra Bhattacharya | Lecturer in Fine Arts. | Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800. | Salary to be fixed by Vice-Chancellor | — |
| (b) Mrs. I. M. Gupta | | | | |
| (c) Shri Bhupen Khakhar | | | | |
| 4. Dr. Brai Bihari Chaubey | Lecturer-cum-Assistant Editor V.I.S. & I.S. Hoshiarpur. | Rs. 400 30 640 EB 40 800 | — | — |
| 5. Shri S. K. Gupta | Temporary Assistant Architect. | Rs. 300-25-500. | Rs. 300 plus allowances as admissible under the rules. | |

107. That the following officiating appointments be approved :—

(i) Shri R. N. Zutshi, Assistant, as Officiating Office Superintendent, w.e.f. 15-11-1966 till further orders, *vice* Shri Gian Chand Gupta.

(ii) Shri Amar Singh, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent, *vice* Shri Durga Dass, w.e.f. 1-12-1966, till further orders.

(Para 9, 8-12-1966)

108. That Shri R. C. Verma, Instructor in Mathematics, Evening College, Chandigarh, who has been appointed Lecturer in Mathematics in the Department of Commerce & Business Management, be allowed to continue teaching at the Evening College on an additional allowance of Rs. 200 p.m. till such time as suitable arrangement is made in his place.

(Para 12, 8-12-1966)

109. That the following persons be confirmed in their posts, w.e.f. the date noted against each :—

1. Shri Randhir Moudgil, Lecturer, Physical Education Department—5-6-1966.

2. Dr. Narinder Nath Reader, Bio-Chemistry Department—8-4-1966.

3. Shri H. M. Dani, Lecturer, Bio-Chemistry Department—13-7-1966.

(Para 14, 8-12-1966)

110. That Dr. I. S. Luthar be given a starting salary of Rs. 1,300 p.m. with effect from the date he joined as Professor of Mathematics.

(Para 16, 8-12-1966)

111. (i) that Dr. B. N. Sud, Reader in Zoology, be granted extension of leave without pay for one year more, *i.e.* up to 10-11-1967;

(ii) that Dr. H. S. Vashist, who is already officiating as Reader in place of Dr. B. N. Sud be allowed to continue as such up to 10-11-1967;

(iii) that Dr. S. P. Kapur (working as a Pool Officer, C.S.I.R.) be appointed temporary Lecturer, *vice* Dr. H. S. Vashist @ Rs. 640

(Paras 5, 6, 7, 8, and 50, 8-12-1966).

p.m. in the pay-scale of Rs. 400-30-640-EB-40-800 from the date he starts work up to 10-11-1967.

(Para 18, 8-12-1966)

112. That Shri Durga Dass, Office Superintendent (retired) be—

(i) granted six months' furlough from 1-4-1967 to 30-9-1967, under Regulation 32(d) at pages 94-95 of the Calendar, Vol. I;

(ii) permitted to work elsewhere during the period of leave;

(iii) paid gratuity due to him under Regulation 52 at page 99 of the Calendar, Vol. I, 1966, for efficient and faithful service.

(Paras 19 and 52, 8-12-66)

113. That in order to expedite action on complaints and to give confidence to public, a separate cell be created in the University Office under direct supervision of the Registrar to deal with the complaints and that for this section the following staff be sanctioned :—

1. Assistant—One

2. Clerks—Five.

(Para 21, 8-12-1966)

114. That a sum of Rs. 50,000 be transferred from the "Holiday Home Fund Account" to the "Current Account" for construction of a Swimming Pool.

(Para 22, 8-12-1966)

115. (i) that the revised pay-scales for college teachers as recommended by the University Grants Commission be adopted for non-govt. colleges on the condition that either the State Govt. should pay the remaining 20% of the expenditure (and take over the liability of the entire expenditure after 1971) or persuade the Government of India to provide assistance at 100% as recommended by the Education Commission.

(ii) that Panjab and Haryana Governments be requested to adopt Delhi pattern for grant-in-aid to non-government colleges on the same basis as has been done for the schools.

- (ii) that it be recommended to the State Governments of Punjab, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh and the Union Territory of Chandigarh, that the pay-scales of all categories of teachers in the Government colleges may be made at par with those recommended by the University Grants Commission for teachers of non-Government colleges but that this revision should not affect adversely the existing pay-scales.

(Para 41, 8-12-1966)

XVII. Item No. 116 of the agenda was read out, viz,—

116. (i) That it be compulsory for B.Sc. students to qualify in English (additional), with effect from the examination to be held in 1967;
Note :—Syndicate has already approved (28-6-1966) to make it compulsory for B.A. students to qualify in M.I.L. (additional).
- (ii) That regular M.A. classes in Urdu be started from next year in the University, subject to the condition that necessary funds are made available by the University Grants Commission and there is adequate scope for the proposed M.A. classes in Urdu;
- (iii) That a Department of Mid-Eastern Studies covering the Languages, Literature, Culture, etc. of Iran, Afghanistan and U.A.R. be set up at this University provided necessary funds are made available by the University Grants Commission;
- (iv) That the Training Colleges be named as "Colleges of Education";
- (v) That evening classes for M.Ed. course be permitted at Chandigarh and later on such classes be allowed at other centres on merits and that the duration of the evening course shall be 18 months;
- (vi) (a) That if the University Grants Commission gives a necessary financial assistance, a 2-year M.Sc. Course in Physics, Chemistry, Botany and Zoology, be started at the University as an experimental measure for a period of three years, and that this course be in theory papers and practicals; minimum qualifications for admission to this would be B.Sc. Pass Degree.
- (b) that the position be reviewed after two years in order to see whether the 2-year M.Sc. course could be extended to the affiliated colleges;

- (c) that if the Panjab University does not start the proposed 2-year course by 1967, the question of allowing it in affiliated colleges may be considered.

(Para 48, 8-12-1966)

Prof. Harbhajan Singh opposed the recommendation contained in (i). He said that the load on the science students was already heavy and requiring them to pass in English compulsorily would not be fair. At any rate, it should not be made compulsory from the examination of 1967.

Prof. D. C. Sharma suggested that the recommendation of the Syndicate should be accepted from 1968 examination.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that B.Sc. students had been given two more chances to clear English. The Syndicate had made this recommendation earlier, but the Senate had referred to back to Academic Council. The Council had re-iterated its earlier decision and the Syndicate once again agreed with it.

Principal O. P. Dogra said that the Science Faculty had rejected the suggestion. He was not in favour of putting more load on science students.

RESOLVED : (a) that the recommendation contained in (i) be referred to the Faculty of Science and Mathematics for re-consideration of their earlier decision.

(a) That the recommendations contained in (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi), be approved.

XVIII. Item Nos. 117 to 120 of the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e.—

117. That for purposes of confirmation, Shri Sant Parkash, Lecturer in Botany, be given benefit of the temporary service put in by him from 26-11-1965 to 25-1-1966 and he be confirmed in his post w.e.f. 26-11-1966.

(Para 51, 8-12-1966)

118. That proposed Haryana War Heroes' Memorial College, Gohana, be granted provisional affiliation for the Pre-University (humanities Group) and 3-year B.A. Courses in English, Sanskrit, Hindi, Economics, History, Political Science, Civics and Mathematics, w.e.f. the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down.

(Para 81, 27-5-1966)

119. That a member of the ministerial staff who passed B.A. (full) or M.A. or LL.B. examination of some other University is entitled to a special increment as is allowed to employees who pass such examination from this University.

(Para 19, 17-9-1966)

120. That Rule 6 relating to allotment of residential accommodation to University employees be amended as under :—

| Present Rule | Proposed Rule. |
|--|--|
| 1 | 2 |
| 6. The employee drawing pay upto 120 will be charged rent at the rate of 5% of their pay and the rest at the rate of 10% of their pay in the revised pay-scales. | 6. The employees drawing pay upto Rs. 120 will be charged rent at the rate of 5% of their pay and the rest at the rate of 10% of their pay in the revised pay-scale, or 10% of the maximum of the slab of the house, whichever is less. If an employee declines the offer of a better type of house, he shall be charged rent at the rate of 10% of his pay. |

(Para 86, 19-11-1966).

XIX. The Vice-Chancellor announced that, under Section 18 of the Panjab University Act, the Chancellor had been pleased to approve recommendation of the Syndicate for appointment of Dr. P. S. Gill as Honorary Professor at this University. Dr. P. S. Gill, the Vice-Chancellor said, was a very eminent scientist and his association would be of great benefit to the University.

The announcement was received by the house with applause.

CONFIRMED :

VICE-CHANCELLOR

Sd. ILLEGIBLE
Registrar

APPENDIX I

STATEMENT A

The recommendations of Syndicate, relating to syllabuses and courses of readings, as detailed below, be approved :

- (i) Syllabus for M.A. Arabic Part II examination, 1968.
(Para 1, 27-8-1966)
- (ii) Scheme of examination, studies and syllabus for the M.Sc. course in Pharmacology.
(Para 110, 27-8-1966)
- (iii) Syllabi for B. Pharm. 1st year examination of 1967
(Para 111, 27-8-1966)
- (iv) (a) Syllabi for Bachelor of Science (Maternal and Child Health Nursing) Post-Basic;
(b) Inclusion of Physics and Chemistry as elective subjects in both the Education Administration and Maternal and Child Health Courses of Study leading to B.Sc. Nursing (Post-Basic) degree.
(Para 113, 27-8-1966)
- (v) Inclusion of two new topics, namely Permutation and Combination Binomial Theorem, in the subject of Mathematics for Higher Secondary Part II examination, with effect from the admissions to 9th class of 1967.
(Para 123, 27-8-1966)
- (vi) Revised syllabus for M.A. Political Science, Parts I & II, 1967, and Part II, 1968.
(Para 153, 27-8-1966)
- (vii) Syllabus in Electronics and Electrical Communication Engineering for the 4th year B.Sc. Engineering.
(Para 157, 27-8-1966)
- (viii) Syllabi for I, II, III and Final B.Sc. Textiles examinations for the year 1966-67.
(Para 158, 27-8-1966)
- (ix) Inclusion of following topics in the subject of Mathematics for the Pre-Engineering course from the examination of 1967 :
Paper B (Algebra and Calculus)
Algebra—Simple cases of Permutations and Combinations, Binomial Theorem with positive integral index, Statement of the Binomial Theorem for negative and fractional indices and its simple applications.
(Para 160, 27-8-1966)
- (x) Syllabus for M.A. Geography Part II examination 1967 and 1968.
(Para 161, 27-8-1966)
- (xi) (a) Syllabus etc. for the proposed Bachelor of Journalism Course.
(b) Outlines of tests and syllabus for M.A. examination Part I in History of Art.
(c) Syllabus for the following examinations :
(i) Teaching of Agriculture for Bachelor of Education course;
(ii) Diploma in Physical Education and M.A. in Physical Education, 1967;
(iii) Teaching of Physics for the Bachelor of Education course.
(Para 40, 17-9-1966)

- (xii) Syllabi for the following examinations :
Sindhi for 3-year Degree Course (Part II) (Additional), 1969.
Tamil for Pre-University, Pre-Engineering, Pre-Medical examinations, 1968.
Tamil for 3-year Degree Course (Part II) (Additional), 1969.
(Para 55, 17-9-1966)
- (xiii) (a) Syllabus in the subject of Drawing for Humanities Group of the Higher Secondary Course;
(b) Deletion of the following topics from Paper A to be offered in Part I of the Higher Secondary Course (Fine Arts Group) in the subject of History and Appreciation of Art, with effect from admissions to 9th class of 1967;
Item (2) Six Limbs of Indian Painting
(5) Murals, their technique as applied by the Indian Artist, and add-Rajput painting.
(Para 84, 21-10-1966)
- (xiv) Syllabus for the Diploma in Journalism Examination, 1968.
(Para 74, 19-11-1966)
- (xv) Syllabi in the subject of Chemistry for the following examinations :
1. Pre-University, 1968.
2. B.A./B.Sc. Parts I, II and III, 1968.
3. Pre-Engineering/Pre-Medical, 1968.
(Para 75, 19-11-1966)
- (xvi) Syllabus and courses of reading in the subject of English for the following examinations :
1. Pre-University, 1968.
2. Pre-Engineering/Pre-Medical, 1968.
3. 3-year Degree Course, Parts I, II and III, 1968.
4. Additional English for B.Sc. Part II, 1969.
5. Special Paper in English for foreign Scholars in lieu of Modern Indian Languages (Additional) 3-Year Degree Course, 1969.
6. Preliminary English for Honours School, 1968.
7. English for Shas'ri, 1968.
8. B.A. Honours; Papers I and II, 1969.
Papers III and IV, 1970.
9. M.A. Parts I and II, 1968.
NOTE :—The book of Short Stories prescribed for B.A. Part I examination 1967, i.e. 'Aspects of Life' will be replaced for 1968 examination by the new book, when it is selected.
(Para 76, 19-11-1966)
- (xvii) (a) Outlines of tests, syllabi and courses of reading for all the Engineering examinations for 1967 admissions.
(b) Paper No. 28, of M.Sc. Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering) Split up into two Papers and their Syllabus for the examination of 1967.

- (c) Introduction of two more post-graduate papers in Chemical Engineering, namely Paper XIII, High Polymer and Paper XIV, Numerical Methods and their syllabus.

(Para 94, 19-11-1966)

- (xviii) Syllabuses and courses of reading, for various examinations as recommended by the Academic Council.

(Para 48, 8-12-1966)

- (ix) Deletion of the following obscene verses of the Sanskrit book "Megha-Duta" prescribed for B.A. Part II examination 1967 :—

Verses Nos. 3, 18, 24, 25, 27, 28, 31, 35, 41, 63, 67, 69, 84, 93, 94, 103 and 108 (Sahitya Academic, 1957 edition).

(Para 64, 8-12-1966)

- (xx) Syllabus in the subject of Mathematics Subsidiary for the B.Sc. Honours School examination of 1967 and 1968.

(Para 65, 8-12-1966)

APPENDIX II

STATEMENT B

List of paper-setters and examiners, appointed by the Syndicate as per minutes of its meeting, noted below :

- (i) Paras 1, 72 and 173, 27-8-1966

- (ii) Para 1, 21-10-1966

- (iii) Para 45, 19-11-1966

- (iv) Paras 1 and 31, 8-12-1966.

APPENDIX III

STATEMENT C

Extension of affiliation recommended by the Syndicate :

| <i>College</i> | <i>Course</i> |
|---|---|
| 1. (a) Govt. College for Women, Rohtak. | Psychology for 3-Year B.A. Course. |
| (b) Govt. College, Jind. | Public Administration for the 3-Year B.A. Course. |
| (c) Govt. College, Tanda Umar. | Music for the Pre-University and 3-Year B.A. Course with effect from the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down. |
| 2. Phagwara College for Women, Phagwara, | (Para 96, 27-8-1966.) |
| 3. I. B. Bharatri College for Girls, Panipat, | Mathematics for the Pre-University and 3-Year B.A. Courses with effect from the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down. |
| 4. (a) R.S.D. College, Ferozepur. | (Para 97, 27-8-1966.) |
| (b) Deve Samaj College for Women, Ferozepur City. | Temporary affiliation in the subject of Home Science for the B.A. Parts II and III, with effect from the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down. |
| 5. D.A.V. College for Girls, Yamunanagar. | (Para 99, 27-8-1966.) |
| 6. Govt. College for Women, Amritsar, | Permanent affiliation in Botany and Zoology for 3-Year B.Sc. Course on inter-collegiate basis. |
| 7. Hindu College, Sonapat. | (Para 76, 17-9-1966.) |
| 8. Akal Agricultural College, Mastuana. | Sanskrit for the 3-Year B.A. Course with effect from the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down. |
| 9. Saraswati Sanskrit College, Khanna. | (Para 73, 21-10-1966.) |
| | Home Science for the Pre-University and 3-Year B.A. courses, with effect from the admissions of 1966, on the conditions laid down. |
| | (Para 74, 21-10-1966.) |
| | Permanent affiliation for 3-Year B.Sc. Part II (Botany & Zoology). |
| | (Para 75, 21-10-1966.) |
| | Permanent affiliation up to III year of B.Sc. Agriculture and the Pre-University (Agriculture Group). |
| | (Para 92, 19-11-1966.) |
| | Acharya Classes in all subjects with effect from 1967-68 session. |
| | (Para 108, 19-11-1966.) |

APPENDIX IV

STATEMENT D

Grant of leave, officiating arrangements and cancellation of leave, recommended by the Syndicate.

1. Shri G. C. Cooke, Editor, University News.
Privilege leave from 27-6-1966 to 25-7-1966 with permission to prefix holiday.
2. Shri S. S. Lal, Librarian, Extension Library, Ludhiana.
Privilege leave from 21-6-1966 to 12-7-1966 with permission to suffix holidays.
3. (i) Shri Atma Ram Bali, Office Superintendent.
Privilege leave from 11-7-1966 to 30-7-1966.
(ii) appointment of Shri B. R. Dham, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent, *vice* (i) from the date he started work till further orders.
4. (i) Shri Gyan Chand Gupta, Office Superintendent.
Leave as under :
(a) Privilege leave from 18-7-1966 to 5-8-1966;
(b) Leave on half pay from 6-8-1966 to 13-8-1966.
(ii) Appointment of Shri Yagya Datt, Assistant, as officiating office Superintendent *vice* (i) from the date he started work up to 13-8-1966.
Privilege leave for 18-7-1966.
5. Shri S. P. Sud, Senior Scientific Officer, Research Scheme, "Scintillation Spectrometry" attached to the Physics Department.
Privilege leave from 18-7-1966 to 6-9-1966 with permission to prefix and suffix holidays.
6. (i) Shri Behari Lal Gupta, Office Superintendent.
(ii) Appointment of Shri Bant Singh, Assistant as officiating Office Superintendent, *vice* (i), from the date he started work till further orders.
Privilege leave from 13-7-1966 to 22-7-1966.
7. Shri Bal Krishna, Secretary, Publication Bureau.
Privilege leave from 1-7-1966 to 16-7-1966 with permission to suffix holiday.
8. Shri K. C. Walia, Deputy Registrar (General).
Extension of leave without pay from 11-8-1966 to 15-8-1966.
9. Dr. B. S. Khanna, Professor of Public Administration.
Privilege leave from 23-5-1966 to 4-6-1966 and 18-6-1966 to 24-6-1966.
(Paras 1, 58, 139 and 168, 27-8-1966)
10. Shri V. S. Bhatia, Junior Scientific Officer, K. Meson Scheme, Physics Department.
Privilege leave for 8-8-1966 and 9-8-1966.
11. Shri Shashi Dhar Sharma, Pandit V.I.S. & I.S., Hoshiarpur.
Privilege leave from 16-8-1966 to 15-10-1966 with permission to prefix and suffix holidays.
12. (i) Shri Roshan Lal Anand, Office Superintendent.
Cancellation of privilege leave for 7-7-1966 already granted to her.
(ii) Appointment of Shri Atma Ram Farwah, Assistant as officiating Office Superintendent, *vice* (i), till further orders.
Extension of leave without pay from 1-6-1966 to 7-6-1966.
13. Dr. Mrs. Sheila Arora, Medical Officer.
Leave without pay for 11-7-1966 with permission to prefix summer vacation.
14. Shri O. P. Bagai, Lecturer, Mathematics Department.
Privilege leave from 11-7-1966 to 20-8-1966.
(Paras 1 and 62, 17-9-1966)
15. Shri Bakhshish Singh Samagh, Lecturer in Microbiology.
Privilege leave from 2-8-1966 to 4-8-1966.
16. Dr. Harnam Singh Shan, Reader in Panjabi.
Extension of privilege leave with effect from 31-7-1966 to 2-8-1966.
17. Shri Satish Mohan Lal, Assistant Architect.
Leave without pay from 11-8-1966 to 13-8-1966, with permission to suffix holidays.
18. Shri Atma Ram Bali, Office Superintendent.
Leave without pay, with effect from the date she was relieved, up to 31-10-1966.
19. Shri L. N. Gupta, Temporary Lecturer, Geology Department.
Privilege leave for 5-9-1966.
20. Miss Harvinder Kaur Virk, Instructor in Public Administration, Evening College, Simla.
Privilege leave from 29-8-1966 to 28-9-1966 with permission to prefix holiday.
21. Shri Shashi Dhar Sharma, Pandit V.I.S. & I.S., Hoshiarpur.
Extension of privilege leave from 7-9-1966 to 30-9-1966.
22. (i) Shri Jiwan Singh, Office Superintendent.
(ii) Appointment of Shri Agya Ram, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent.
23. (i) Shri Behari Lal Gupta, Office Superintendent.
(ii) Continuance of Shri Bant Singh, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent.

24. (i) Shri Gyan Chand Gupta, Office Superintendent.
 (ii) Continuance of Shri Yagya Datt, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent, up to 7-10-1966.
25. Appointment of Shri Bant Singh, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent in the leave arrangement of Shri Roshan Lal Anand, Office Superintendent from 1-10-1966, till further orders.
26. Shri J. S. Duggal, Assistant Architect.
27. Shri S. K. Jatana, Assistant Architect.
28. Dr. Jagdish Sharma, Librarian.
29. Shri Maha Nand Misra, Pandit, V.I.S. & I.S., Hoshiarpur.
30. (i) Shri Kesho Ram, Office Superintendent.
 (ii) Appointment of Shri Bant Singh, Assistant, as officiating Office Superintendent, from 31-10-1966 till further orders.
31. Shri Kailash Nath, Assistant Director, V.I.S. and I.S., Hoshiarpur.
32. Shri Joginder Singh, Assistant Librarian.
33. Shri Shashi Dhar Sharma, Pandit, V.I.S. and I.S., Hoshiarpur.
34. Shri Parma Nand, Pandit, V.I.S. and I.S., Hoshiarpur.
35. Shri P. P. Singh, Reader and Head of the Journalism Department.
36. Shri K. L. Jain, Reader, Economics Department.
37. Dr. H. R. Pajni, Entomologist, PL 480 Scheme attached to the Zoology Department.
38. Shri Amarjit Singh, Instructor in Panjabi, Evening College, Jullundur.
39. Shri D. P. Dubey, Junior Research Officer, K. Meson Scheme attached to Physics Deptt.
40. Shri Suraj Bhan, Lecturer, Ancient Indian History & Culture Department.
41. Dr. S. R. K. Chopra, Head of the Anthropology Department.
- Extension of Medical leave on half pay from 18-9-1966 to 7-10-1966 with permission to suffix holidays.
- Privilege leave from 16-9-1966 to 19-9-1966.
- Privilege leave from 19-10-1966 to 28-10-1966.
- Privilege leave as under :
 (i) 8-9-1966 and 9-9-1966 with permission to prefix and suffix holidays.
 (ii) 15-9-1966 and 16-9-1966.
- Leave without pay from 5-2-1966 to 15-4-1966.
 (Paras 1, 35 and 37, 21-10-1966)
- Privilege leave for four months from 31-10-1966 with permission to prefix holidays.
- Extension of leave without pay from 9-10-1966 to 8-4-1967.
- Privilege leave from 30-9-1966 to 1-10-1966 with permission to suffix holiday.
- Privilege leave for 1-10-1966.
- Privilege leave for 15-10-1966 and 16-10-1966.
 (Para 1, 19-11-1966).
- Privilege leave from 17-10-1966 to 22-10-1966 with permission to prefix and suffix holidays.
- Medical leave (commuted) from 8-9-1966 to 17-10-1966.
- Note : Double the period of commuted leave will be debited to his half-pay leave account.
 (Para 33, 19-11-1966).
- Privilege leave from 16-8-1966 to 31-8-1966.
- (i) Commuted leave from 23-7-1966 to 30-7-1966;
 (ii) Leave on half pay from 3-8-1966 to 19-8-1966.
- Note : Double the period of commuted leave will be debited to his half pay leave account.
 (Para 101, 19-11-1966).
- Privilege leave from 9-11-1966 to 3-12-1966 with permission to suffix holiday.
- Medical leave (commuted) from 14-11-1966 to 26-11-66 with permission to prefix and suffix holidays.
- Note : Double the period of commuted leave debited to his half pay leave account.
 (Para 1, 8-12-1966).
- Extension of leave without pay from 1-10-1966 to 10-11-1966.
 (Para 17, 8-12-1966).

APPENDIX—V
STATEMENT—E

Supplementary grants recommended by the Syndicate :

| | |
|--|--------------|
| (1) Annual Repairs for Holiday Homes at Dalhousie .. | Rs. 1,500 |
| (Para 45, 27-8-1966.) | |
| (2) (i) <i>General Administration</i> | |
| (a) Vice-Chancellor's office "Contingencies and Furniture" .. | Rs. 2,000 |
| (b) University Office "Additional temporary staff sanctioned by the Vice-Chancellor" .. | Rs. 9,300 |
| (ii) <i>Conducting Examinations</i> | |
| (a) Contracts .. | Rs. 30,000 |
| (b) Provision for various sub-heads under the major head "Conducting Examinations" .. | Rs. 2,75,000 |
| <i>Note</i> —Because of large increase in the number of candidates and restoration of old rates for laboratory staff for practicals. | |
| (iii) <i>Evening Colleges</i> | |
| (a) Evening College, Jullundur "Furniture and Equipment" .. | Rs. 1,000NR |
| (b) Evening College, Rohtak "Furniture and Equipment" .. | Rs. 2,000NR |
| (iv) <i>University Teaching</i> | |
| (a) Department of Biophysics "Research Grant" .. | Rs. 3,000NR |
| (b) Department of Botany "Contingencies—Botanical Garden" .. | Rs. 4,000 |
| (c) Department of Chemistry "Contingencies etc." .. | Rs. 25,000 |
| (d) Department of Mathematics (Advanced Centre) "Electricity and Water" for I.B.M. Computer .. | Rs. 10,000 |
| (e) Department of Russian "Furniture and Equipment" .. | Rs. 1,000NR |
| (f) Department of Sociology "Contingencies etc." .. | Rs. 500NR |
| (v) <i>Improvement of Education</i> | |
| (a) Extension Lectures .. | Rs. 1,000 |
| (b) Research Bulletin Science "Contingencies etc." .. | Rs. 1,000 |
| (vi) <i>Punjab University Library, Chandigarh</i> | |
| "Electricity" .. | Rs. 15,000 |
| (vii) <i>Miscellaneous</i> | |
| (a) "Maintenance of lawns etc., including water charges and contingencies for landscaping." .. | Rs. 14,000 |
| (b) "Centenary celebrations of Guru Gobind Singh" .. | Rs. 15,000NR |

Rs. 4,10,300

(Para 39, 19-11-1966)

Sd, ILLEGIBLE

PUNJAB UNIVERSITY (CHANDIGARH)

SENATE meeting held in the Senate Room, Panjab University Secretariat, Chandigarh, on Sunday, December 11, 1966, at 10 a.m.

VII

MINUTES

PRESENT

1. Sh. Suraj Bhan, Vice-Chancellor (*In the Chair*).
2. Prof. Abdul Majid Khan
3. Shri Ajaib Singh
4. Shri A. N. Sharma
5. Prof. Balwant Singh
6. Shri Bhagat Ram
7. Principal Bishan Singh Samundri
8. Principal B. L. Kapur
9. Principal B. S. Bahl
10. Principal C. L. Arora
11. Prof. D. C. Sharma
12. Shri Dewan Singh
13. Prof. D. N. Shukla
14. Principal Gurbax Singh Shergill
15. Dr. Gurdev Singh
16. Shri Gurdial Singh Dhillon
17. Principal G. C. Khanna
18. Principal Goverdhan Lal Bakhshi
19. Shri Harbhajan Singh
20. Principal Harnam Singh
21. Shri Jagjit Singh
22. Shri Jiwan Lal Tiwari
23. Dr. Kundan Lal Jaura
24. Dr. K. L. Wig
25. Kumari Lajja Vati
26. Principal Mahna Singh
27. Principal Mohan Singh
28. Sh. M. L. Anand
29. Principal Narain Dass Grover
30. Principal O. P. Mohan
31. Principal O. P. Dogra
32. Prof. O. P. Sharma
33. Shri Parshotam Loomba
34. Shri Pyare Lal Beri
35. Principal Pritam Singh
36. Principal Pradeep Kumar
37. Principal P. C. Joshi
38. Principal P. L. Anand
39. Shri Ram Chandra
40. Principal Ram Singh Sachdev
41. Prof. Ram Chand Paul
42. Shri Ram Chander Javed
43. Mrs. R. L. Sethi
44. Shri Ralla Ram
45. Shri R. N. Safaya
46. Dr. Sansar Chandra
47. Ch. Suraj Mal
48. Shri S. L. Dhall
49. Principal S. S. Gill
50. Principal S. D. Vashisht
51. Shri Tapinder Singh
52. Shri Tek Chand
53. Miss Vidya Anand
54. Miss V. G. Bhan
55. Dr. V. S. Puri
56. S. Sujan Singh, REGISTRAR.

1. The VICE-CHANCELLOR stated that the Senate was this morning meeting in a special session called to consider the Report of the Kothari Commission appointed by the Govt. of India to go into education in the country at all levels. The University had been asked to give their comments on six Chapters which were connected with the tasks of the Universities. Originally, the Ministry of Education had asked for the comments of Universities by the middle of October and it was proposed to convene a meeting of the Vice-Chancellors towards the end of October to consider the views of various Universities. But on account of considerable student unrest in the country, the Education Ministry and University Grants Commission decided that a Conference of Vice-Chancellors be convened to consider that problem earlier and the meeting of Vice-Chancellors and Education Ministers of States should be held later, about the middle of December, to consider the Education Commission Report. He had, therefore, tried to expedite, as far as possible, consideration of this Report. The Vice-Chancellor said that his original idea was that a conference of Heads of University Departments and Principals of colleges be held in Chandigarh to discuss for about three days the various matters contained in the Report. But that idea had to be abandoned as he thought that the absence of Principals from the headquarters was not advisable. Therefore, it was decided to have a special session of Syndicate in Delhi on October 29, 30 and 31. The views of Syndicate in regard to major issues posed by the Report were now before the house. The views of the Heads of University Department and Principals of colleges were also invited. These were considered by Academic Council on 26-11-1966 and their recommendations, along with the views of Heads of University Departments and Principals of colleges, were also before the house. Syndicate did not consider the Chapters on :—

1. Education of Agriculture;
2. Vocational, Technical and Engineering Education;
3. Science Education & Research; and
4. Teacher Education.

For these chapters, Syndicate appointed separate Committees and their views had also been placed before the house. A classified statement of all these had been supplied to members of Senate for their consideration.

The Vice-Chancellor informed the house that the Conference of Vice-Chancellors and Education Ministers which was proposed to be held on December 15, 16 and 17 to consider the views of the Universities on the Report, had been postponed. Shri M. C. Chagla who was the Education Minister when the Commission was appointed had gone over to the External Affairs and the new Education Minister had been taken ill. The Conference was now expected to be held about the middle of May, after the elections. Therefore, while there was no urgency, it should not mean that Senate should not consider the Report in all seriousness today. He requested the house to consider the following item first :—

"1. To consider the following recommendations of Syndicate (Para 47, 8-12-1966) :—

- (i) that the pattern of education recommended by the Education Commission be accepted, namely;
 - (a) First ten years—general education, followed by
 - (b) two years of special education; and
 - (c) three years for the first degree course.

- (ii) that for the *interim* period which shall be for maximum of five years, the Higher Secondary Part I examination be equated with the Matriculation examination and the Pre-University examination be equated with the Higher Secondary Part II examination and that there be no internal assessment in the Higher Secondary Part II examination,
- (iii) that the question whether the two-year special course of Higher Secondary be at the colleges or at schools or both, be decided in the light of the experience gained during the interim period of five years.
- (iv) that students be allowed to change over from Matriculation to Higher Secondary course and *vice-versa*.
- (v) that such Higher Secondary schools as want to revert to the Matriculation system should be allowed to do so.
- (vi) that the Boards of Studies should continue to deal with the Pre-University Course, as at present."

Principal **B. S. BAHL** opened the discussion and said that he generally agreed with the recommendations of Syndicate. He, however, wanted to say that at present, the Higher Secondary course is dealt with by the School Board and the Pre-University course by the various Boards of Studies. The equation of the two courses should not mean that whatever course is laid down for Pre-University course should be automatically applicable to the Higher Secondary students. Syllabus, as such, should not be forced on Higher Secondary Schools.

Principal **JAGJIT SINGH** said that there were no two opinions on the proposal of having the Pre-University course for Higher Secondary Part II also. Equation of these two courses was desirable and if there was difference in syllabus of Mathematics, etc., it should be removed. But the 11th and 12th classes should not be attached to the schools unless they come up to the required quality of standard and till then these classes should be attached to the colleges.

Principal **PARDEEP KUMAR** agreed with Principal Jagjit Singh that the proposed 2-year course for special education, after the 10th class, should be attached to the colleges and not to the schools. Attachment of the 11th class of Higher Secondary course to the schools had been a very unhappy experience. He was not in favour of extending the first degree course from 14 to 15 years. India, he said, was a poor country. He believed that after the 10-year course in schools, there should be a 2-year course in colleges for special education and after that a 2-year course for the first degree. There should not be much difference in the quality of students by adoption of this pattern.

Prof. **D. C. SHARMA** remarked that the Vice-Chancellor had done a very good thing in letting the house have opinion of Academic Council, Syndicate, Heads of University Departments, Principals of colleges and the special Sub-Committees. So far, no University in India had done so. The Panjab University had been pioneers in this respect. He was, however, sorry that Shri M. C. Chagla who was keen on this Report had gone over to the External Affairs Ministry and the New Education Minister had been taken ill. He hoped and prayed that he gets well soon to pilot the Report. For the first time in the history of the country, education was being taken up seriously. The government was prepared to dole out money in order to make education quality-conscious, teacher-conscious and co-curricular activities conscious. He requested Principal Pardeep Kumar not to insist on 14-year course for the first degree. Referring to Principal Bahl's remarks, he said that the Co-ordination Committee could do the duty of laying down the same syllabus for both the Pre-University and Higher Second-

ary course. Prof. **D. C. SHARMA** proposed that the recommendations of Syndicate be accepted.

Prof. **A. N. SHARMA** said that coordination should take place at the time of framing of syllabi for all courses, *i.e.*, from the very beginning upto the first degree course. There should be complete integration. At present, there was no coherence and at various levels the syllabi suffered from repetition. The syllabi should not be merely bookish, but should have applied bias. One of the reasons of the present unrest was that the student did not suffer much if his studies were cut off for sometime. Other nations in the world were going ahead and India should not lag behind. In order to introduce advanced knowledge at the degree stage, the duration should be increased by one year as proposed by the Education Commission. He agreed with the recommendations of Syndicate.

Principal **O. P. DOGRA** said that Higher Secondary course in Delhi was very successful. The Panjab University should have adopted that course. The Higher Secondary course in the Panjab had failed. He was of the opinion that we should revert to the old system of school education, *i.e.*, Matriculation course. After that, there should be 1-year course for Preparatory and then 3-year degree course. This will eliminate the need for coordination of the Matriculation and Higher Secondary courses. If it was considered desirable, the Preparatory course could be of 2-year but in that case 2-year course for the first degree will be sufficient. The medium of instruction was responsible for the poor quality of students. Principal Dogra thought that if the medium of instruction in colleges was also Modern Indian Languages, a good deal of time of students could be saved.

DR. GOVERDHAN LAL BAKSHI said that there have been difficulties because of two different bodies dealing with the syllabi for the Pre-University and Higher Secondary courses. This is why coordination has been lacking. It was very desirable that both these courses should be identical and to ensure that the School Board should permanently appoint its representatives on each Board of Studies who should be present at every meeting of the Board of Studies when they consider Pre-University syllabus. While different labels have been given to the courses, the standard of old syllabi has not been exceeded. The intention introduction of 3-year degree course was to improve standards, but it could not be achieved. Now, it was proposed to have a 2-year special course instead of the old type Intermediate course, but what about the standard to be achieved? He thought that the background of this proposal appeared to be to make our degree compare favourably with degrees in other countries. An Indian student was in no way inferior to other students in the world, but the syllabus in this country was not of the same standard as laid down for Universities in the advanced countries. The proposed change should not mean change in names and therefore the Senate should give a directive that standards should be raised and the Panjab University degree should not be lower than corresponding degree of any University in the world. If the syllabus for our B.A. degree is made equivalent to the standard of our present M.A. degree, it will be worthwhile making the proposed changes. The Senate should also give direction for necessary changes in the methods of teaching. For this purpose, the suggestions made in the Robbins Report for teaching in the British Universities should be adopted by the Panjab University.

SHRI S. L. DHALL said that the essence of the Report of Education Commission was to adopt a uniform pattern of education in the whole of the country and to raise the standards. For achieving these objects, no time should be lost. It should, however, be borne in mind that standards can be raised if the teachers are satisfied. The duration of school course should be 10 years as previously. He laid great stress on the necessity for improvement of conditions of the teachers.

SHRI BALWANT SINGH said that the proposal to increase the duration of the first degree course from 14 to 15 years was very good and laudable, but the big question was whether it would be possible to implement it. When the Higher Secondary course was adopted in 1958, it was decided that Matriculation course would be discontinued after 5 years, but it had not been possible to discontinue the Matriculation course. In fact, this position may continue for many more years. The Education Commission had recommended revision of teachers' pay scales, but the Fourth Plan does not contain any provision for this. Increase in the duration of the course by one year would require considerable funds as more teachers would be required. Finding money for that would be very difficult, but assuming that it would be forthcoming, another difficulty would be of finding qualified staff. Even for the higher secondary course it has not been possible to get the required staff. About 500 schools had introduced higher secondary course and each one of these required 3 Science teachers, but it had not been possible to have more than 15 or 20 M.Sc's. Yet, another difficulty would be of accommodation for the additional class. He thought that if the syllabi were suitably revised, it should be possible to cover the present syllabus upto 8th class in 7 years. While appreciating the new experiments which were good and which should be tried, he emphasized that pace of such decisions should be slow.

SHRI RAM CHANDRA expressing pleasure at what Shri Balwant Singh had said remarked that whatever decision is taken it should be realistic and the members should not be carried away by sentiment. An average student of India did not compare favourably with an average student abroad. Assuming that Kindergarten and Nursery will not be included in the ten years of school education and that a person will join the first class at the age of six, Shri Ram Chandra said that the student will get the first degree at the age of 21. In Oxford and Cambridge, B.A. is the final degree and not the first degree. For M.A. degree, there is no examination and a graduate of that University has to pay the prescribed fee for obtaining his M.A. degree. He agreed with Dr. Goverdhan Lal that if it is intended to give B.A. degree after 15 years of education, i.e., at the age of 21, it should be equivalent to M.A. But that will affect the graduates who go in for competitive examinations for which there is an age limit. They will suffer if the age limit for competitive examinations is not raised. Shri Ram Chandra was of the opinion that the duration should not be increased by one year as that will add to expenses of parents and also the institutions.

THAKUR TAPINDER SINGH endorsing the recommendations in (iv) and (v) which affected his State (Himachal Pradesh) also, said that the proposed changes in the pattern of education, however desirable, did not square with the conditions in the country. The schools which introduced Higher Secondary system had started reverting to the Matriculation course. The real problem facing the country was population and mass illiteracy. Regretting that the chief difficulty was that India took cue from other countries, he asked whether it was possible to change over to the system prevailing in other countries like Russia and U.K. Why not take some cue from U.S.A. also? Before raising standards, an accurate assessment of the conditions prevailing in the country should be made. Another question to be considered was that of language to be adopted, for language was the tool of life.

Principal **RALLA RAM** said that all members wanted the standards to be raised. It was also necessary to make the teachers content. Students of India were not inferior, but our syllabus was lower than that prescribed by Universities in other countries. For improving the syllabus, it would be necessary to have 15-year course for the first degree instead of the present 14. He was in favour of the 2-year special course as recommended by the Commission. Higher Secondary scheme was good, but

it had not been possible to make arrangements in all the schools. Good Higher Secondary Schools should be retained, but the badly equipped schools should revert to Matriculation course. He was also in favour of changing the medium of instruction from English to Indian Languages as without that it would not be possible to raise the quality of students. With these suggestions, he endorsed the recommendations of the Syndicate.

Principal **O. P. MOHAN** agreed with Dr. Goverdhan Lal that reforms should not mean only changing of names of courses. He also drew attention to Shri Balwant Singh's warning that India may not have finances required for the proposed reforms and even if finances are forthcoming, will it be possible to implement these for want of qualified teachers? He stressed the desirability of improving pay scales of teachers. While pointing out that the recommendations in (i) was the ultimate objective, Principal Mohan pointed out that it would be a phased programme which may take 10 or even 20 years. He suggested that there should be an integrated course right from the primary stage. He felt that it would be better if the three States (Panjab, Haryana and Himachal Pradesh) made available to the University their experts either in the form of suggestions or some sort of liaison between the Boards of Studies in the University and the Education Departments. Some members of the staff of the Education Departments had received training and the University should try to gain from the experience of such training. An integrated picture should be prepared in the context of existing courses and that may be considered by School Board and the Boards of Studies. Without that addition of one year to the present duration of the first degree course would be completely infructuous.

SHRI MAHNA SINGH remarked that the idea of raising standards of education was very good. The weakest link in the structure was Secondary education. According to him, the reason for this was that while the Middle School examination was conducted by the Education Department, the Matriculation and Higher Secondary examinations were conducted by the University. There was little coordination between the two organisations. For example, it was not compulsory to pass in English and even in Mathematics, in order to qualify at the Middle School examination. Recently, this flaw had been removed to some extent. He drew attention to the extremely unsatisfactory conditions of teachers in high schools and higher secondary schools. Conditions of even Headmasters was unsatisfactory. Until recently, the pay scale of Headmasters was better than that of college lecturers, but while the pay scale of the latter had been revised, nothing had been done for the former. The Education Department had done nothing for teachers of secondary schools. He was of the opinion that like University Grants Commission, there should be a Secondary Education Commission and the status of secondary school teachers should be properly raised.

SHRI DEWAN SINGH DHINSA was not in favour of increasing the duration of the degree course without proper and detailed consideration. He was, however, in favour of raising the quality of education.

Prof. **HARBHAJAN SINGH** remarked that nothing had been done to encourage thinking on the part of students. In his opinion, the chief reason for this was the medium of instruction which he said should be changed into regional languages so that there is free thinking on the part of students. The real question was the quality of education and the teachers and not the addition of one more year to the duration of the degree course. The teachers should receive adequate emoluments. He was in favour of the present system of yearly examinations which, he said, had toned up discipline considerably.

Principal **S. D. VASISHT** remarked that the Education Commission whose Report was under discussion was the first of its kind. In due course, he said, the proposal for addition of a year to the duration of the degree

course must be accepted, but for the time being, the present 14-year course may continue. The implementation of the recommendations contained in the Report will certainly present financial difficulties, but that should not mean ignoring important suggestions made by educationists of eminence.

Principal G. C. KHANNA said that financial implications of various recommendations should be properly examined. This point had very ably made clear by Shri Balwant Singh. He was not aware if the Report contained any recommendations in regard to vocational bias, but he felt that such bias should be introduced at school level in a large measure.

Prof. D. N. SHUKLA remarked that the Report was not only anti-national but also anti-educational because nothing of the country's culture had been included in it. He also said that function of a University was research which lead to discovery of new knowledge.

Prof. R. N. SAFAYA agreed with Principal Pradeep Kumar and Shri Balwant Singh that *status quo* should remain and experimentation should be slow. He, however, suggested that some sort of screening should take place at the time of admission to the B.A./B.Sc. courses and every one who sought admission should not be admitted unless he satisfies certain minimum requirements which should be laid down. The quality of syllabus should be improved and the number of working days should be increased. The curriculum should be enriched and repetition avoided. He was not in favour of increasing the duration of first degree course by one year as suggested by the Education Commission.

Principal BISHAN SINGH said that he was opposed to addition of one year to the duration of the first degree course. He was doubtful whether full advantage had been taken of the financial aid given by government for introduction of higher secondary course and whether the schools had right type of teachers. He made a few suggestions, *viz.*, the time taken for declaration of results should be reduced and the time thus saved should be added to the working days, Matriculation syllabi should be suitably revised and elementary topics should be shifted to the lower classes, the instruction in colleges should be in the regional languages as in the case of other countries and the pay scales of teachers should be improved.

Principal RAM SINGH SACHDEV strongly advocated improvement of pay scales of college teachers and for implementation of the revised scales without delay.

The VICE-CHANCELLOR replied that Govt. of India had already accepted recommendation of the Education Commission for revision of pay scales of University and college teachers and the State Governments had already been asked to give their concurrence for meeting 20% of the additional expenditure. The Syndicate and Senate of the Panjab University had also accepted these pay scales and had decided to request the Government to contribute their share.

Principal HARNAM SINGH remarked that the Report of the Education Commission was unique in that it presented an integrated pattern right from the primary stage up to higher education in the Universities. The objective was to raise academic standards. We should, however see how far the ideal envisaged by the Report was realisable. He agreed with Shri Balwant Singh that the duration of the degree course should not be increased from 14 to 15 years.

Principal GURBAKHS SINGH SHERGILL said that the questionnaire issued by the Education Commission was discussed by the Principals and he had discussed it elsewhere also. As far as the report is concerned, relevant points applicable to the Panjab University may be considered. Before taking decisions, their practicability should be kept in mind. Education, he said, was related

to socio-economic and political structure of society in the country. The main point for introduction of 11-year higher secondary course was that large number of students need not go to the University and they should have a terminal course. He thought it would not be possible to increase the duration of the Degree Course from 14 to 15 years till funds are available, but the University could agree that the pattern recommended by the Commission was acceptable for the future. He also agreed that the syllabus for the Pre-University and Higher Secondary Courses should be the same and the School Board should appoint its representatives on the Boards of Studies which decide the Pre-University syllabus.

Principal JAGJIT SINGH remarked that the stumbling block in the extension of the Degree Course from 14 to 15 years and in the improvement of standards was finance and this difficulty cannot be removed. He, therefore, suggested that other matters which did not involve funds should be looked into. He was in favour of restricting admissions as he felt that students who could not benefit from University courses should not be admitted. In the nature of things, education has to expand and consequently there was fear of the standards going down. This should be made up by restricting admissions. As an example, he suggested that students who pass in the 3rd Division should not be allowed to join the University. While yearly examinations were good for making the students work, they had come in the way of improvement of standards. This was so because yearly examinations meant wastage of three months every year instead of after two years as in the past.

The VICE-CHANCELLOR remarked that while most of the members who had taken part in the discussion had confined their observations to the issue under discussion, some of the observations made by them were not quite relevant. The Commission, he said, was unique in one sense in that it has dealt with the entire system of education. The previous Commissions were for different levels of education, *i.e.*, Secondary Education, University Education, etc. The direction to this Commission was that they had to work out a national pattern. Continuing, the Vice-Chancellor said that after the independence in 1947, there had been over-experimentation resulting in a plethora of educational policies. He felt that the present confusion in respect of patterns of education prevailing in the various States should not continue. Rapid expansion of education in India without adequate resources had led to considerable deterioration in standards and that is why the Commission had expressed their anxiety and had suggested that the Universities should make a determined effort to see that the standards in India were not merely national standards, but that they should compare favourably with international standards. It was to attain that objective that the Commission had recommended addition of one more year to the duration of the Degree Course. It might be a dream at the moment, but as an educational objective there could be no two opinions about it. Agreeing that the intelligence quotient of Indian students was as high as of students of other countries, the Vice-Chancellor asked whether the teachers were also as good as their counter-parts in other countries. Are the laboratories and libraries of Indian Universities equipped as well as those in other countries? If the answers to these questions were in the negative, how could it be said that an Indian graduate was as good as a graduate of a foreign University? For achieving that objective, there is no escape from increasing the duration of the Degree Course by one year. His personal view was that an increase in the duration of the course may be done at the school stage. In U.K., the period of study in schools was longer than that obtaining in India. In U.K., a student sometimes studied in the 6th Form for two years in order to make his mind mature. In some of the advanced countries, they have a 12-year course for schools and after that a 3-year course for the first degree. In certain countries, the duration

of the Degree Course was sometimes 16 years or even 17 years. The Education Commission appointed by the Government of India was aware that our country is poor, but poverty of resources is no excuse for poverty in standards of education. The Commission had suggested addition of only one year. Referring to the Robbin's Report, the Vice-Chancellor mentioned the oft-repeated saying :

"There is a race between education and catastrophe. A country which ignores education does so at its own peril."

The Vice-Chancellor asked the house to decide whether the proposals of the Education Commission, as endorsed by the Academic Council and the Syndicate, may be accepted as an objective to be implemented if the resources are available. For the interim period, the existing pattern with the modifications suggested by the Academic Council/Syndicate might continue.

RESOLVED : That the recommendations of the Syndicate contained in item 1 be approved as elucidated by the Vice-Chancellor.

II Consideration of item No. 2 was postponed to the next meeting to be held in March, 1967, i.e.—

"2. To consider the following in connection with report of Education Commission :—

- (i) Minutes of the Syndicate meeting, dated October 29, 30 and 31, 1966.
- (ii) Comments of the Academic Council meeting held on 26-11-1966 (Para 25).

(iii) Minutes of the following Committees appointed by Syndicate :—

- (i) Agriculture Committee (Chapter XIV—Education for Agriculture);
- (ii) Engineering Committee (Chapter VI—Vocational; Technical and Engineering Education);
- (iii) Science Committee (Chapter XVI—Science Education & Research);
- (iv) Education Committee (Chapter IV—Teacher Education);

(iv) Views received in response to our letter sent to—

- (a) Principals of affiliated colleges;
- (b) Heads of University Teaching Departments;
- (c) Panjab University Teachers' Association (these were also received along with the replies from Heads of University Teaching Departments)."

(Syndicate para 46, 8-12-1966)

Sd. ILLEGIBLE

Registrar

Confirmed.

VICE-CHANCELLOR.